nature biotechnology

Article

Slow release of a synthetic auxin induces formation of adventitious roots in recalcitrant woody plants

Received: 13 March 2023

Accepted: 15 November 2023

Published online: 24 January 2024

Check for updates

Ohad Roth¹, Sela Yechezkel², Ori Serero^{2,3}, Avi Eliyahu^{2,3}, Inna Vints¹, Pan Tzeela^{2,3}, Alberto Carignano⁴, Dorina P. Janacek⁵, Verena Peters⁶, Amit Kessel[®]⁷, Vikas Dwivedi², Mira Carmeli-Weissberg², Felix Shaya², Adi Faigenboim-Doron², Kien Lam Ung⁸, Bjørn Panyella Pedersen[®]⁸, Joseph Riov³, Eric Klavins⁴, Corinna Dawid⁶, Ulrich Z. Hammes⁵, Nir Ben-Tal⁷, Richard Napier[®]⁹, Einat Sadot² & Roy Weinstain[®]¹

Clonal propagation of plants by induction of adventitious roots (ARs) from stem cuttings is a requisite step in breeding programs. A major barrier exists for propagating valuable plants that naturally have low capacity to form ARs. Due to the central role of auxin in organogenesis, indole-3-butyric acid is often used as part of commercial rooting mixtures, yet many recalcitrant plants do not form ARs in response to this treatment. Here we describe the synthesis and screening of a focused library of synthetic auxin conjugates in *Eucalyptus grandis* cuttings and identify 4-chlorophenoxyacetic acid–L-tryptophan-OMe as a competent enhancer of adventitious rooting in a number of recalcitrant woody plants, including apple and argan. Comprehensive metabolic and functional analyses reveal that this activity is engendered by prolonged auxin signaling due to initial fast uptake and slow release and clearance of the free auxin 4-chlorophenoxyacetic acid. This work highlights the utility of a slow-release strategy for bioactive compounds for more effective plant growth regulation.

Adventitious roots (ARs) are defined as roots that regenerate from nonroot tissues, in contrast to lateral roots (LRs) that are postembryonic roots formed from root tissue¹. Clonal (vegetative) propagation of plants by induction of ARs from stem cuttings is a requisite step in selection and breeding programs as well as in routine agricultural practices, and has tremendous economic importance². Clonal propagation is also a cornerstone in forestry, the ornamental plant industry and the development of elite rootstocks to provide resistance to pests, diseases and changing environmental conditions². Despite its substantial economic and agricultural importance, a major barrier still exists for propagating clones of many valuable plants that naturally have low or no capacity form ARs or that lose this ability during maturation³⁻⁵.

¹School of Plant Sciences and Food Security, Faculty of Life Sciences, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel. ²The Institute of Plant Sciences, The Volcani Center, Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development, Rishon LeZion, Israel. ³The Robert H. Smith Institute of Plant Sciences and Genetics in Agriculture, The Robert H. Smith Faculty of Agriculture, Food and Environment, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Rehovot, Israel. ⁴Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA. ⁵Chair of Plant Systems Biology, Technical University of Munich, Freising, Germany. ⁶Chair of Food Chemistry and Molecular and Sensory Science, Technical University of Munich, Freising, Germany. ⁷Department of Biochemistry and Molecular BiologySchool of Neurobiology, Biochemistry & Biophysics, Faculty of Life Sciences, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel. ⁸Department of Molecular Biology and Genetics, Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark. ⁹School of Life Sciences, University of Warwick, Coventry, UK. *e*-mail: vhesadot@volcani.agri.gov.il; royweinstain@tauex.tau.ac.il



Fig. 1 | **A chemical screen for rooting enhancers of difficult-to-root cuttings highlighted 4-CPA-Trp-OMe (1q). a**, Illustration of the chemical screen. **b**, Structure of **1q**; the most efficient compound. **c**, Rooting percentages 1 month after application. Fisher's exact test *P* values are presented for significantly better applications compared with K-IBA (6,000 ppm) as a single treatment (a one-sided test), *n* = 60, 20, 20, 20, 45, 45 and 45 cuttings per sample, respectively. Compound concentration (in μM) is shown in brackets. **d**, Distributions of root length in regenerated cuttings, n = 37, 94, 98 and 35, respectively. Two-sided Mann–Whitney *U* test *P* values are presented. **e**, A box plot (center line, median; box limits, upper and lower quartiles; whiskers, $1.5 \times$ interquartile range) presenting number of roots per regenerated cutting, n = 17, 31, 36 and 12, respectively. None of the applications outperformed K-IBA significantly (two-sided Mann–Whitney *U* test). **f**, Representative pictures of cuttings 35 days from the indicated treatment.

AR development is a heritable, quantitative genetic trait^{6,7} that shows high plasticity and is controlled by multiple intrinsic and environmental factors⁸⁻¹⁰. In particular, it was shown to be controlled by a complex network of plant hormone crosstalk, in which auxin signaling plays a central role in each step of the process¹¹⁻¹⁵. In some plant species. lower endogenous indole-3-acetic acid (IAA) levels in difficult-to-root mature cuttings compared with easy-to-root juvenile ones, for example, *Eucalyptus grandis* and *Pisum sativum*, have been reported^{16,17}, as well as absence of IAA maxima in the cambium zone of difficult-to-root pine cuttings¹⁸; the cambium being the tissue from which ARs typically form¹⁹ and where IAA maxima are often observed^{20,21}. However, other plant species show comparable endogenous auxin levels in juvenile and mature shoots or even higher in the mature difficult-to-root ones^{22,23} yet the ability to form AR is significantly impaired in mature shoots, with or without exogenous auxin application. Thus, the accepted presumption so far is that auxin responsiveness (as derived from auxin metabolism, transport and perception) has changed in mature cuttings, not any more able to convey the correct signaling pathways to support AR formation. Indeed, stronger auxin response (DR5:GUS) was reported in young versus mature cuttings of P. sativum upon similar exogenous auxin treatments¹⁶ and differential expression profiles of auxin-regulated genes were observed in easy-versus difficult-to-root poplar^{24,25}, pine^{18,26,27} and *Eucalyptus* species^{17,28–31} along AR induction.

Although IAA is the most prevalent endogenous auxin in plants, and the first to be used for induction of AR formation³², indole-3-butyric acid (IBA) and 1-naphthalaneacetic acid (NAA) have been found to be more efficient and, for the past 60 years, are the major components in most commercial rooting formulas^{2,33}. Initially, the increased efficacy of IBA and NAA was attributed to their higher light resistance, but more recent studies point to their differential metabolism and transport (compared with IAA) as the potential source for their efficacy^{34–36}. Over the years, efforts have been made to increase the effectiveness of IBA by different approaches, including its conjugation to various molecules^{37–40}. Nevertheless, many recalcitrant plants respond poorly to exogenous application of these compounds^{41,42}, and their vegetative propagation remains a significant challenge.

The above observations have prompted us to hypothesize that synthetic auxins might represent an underexplored chemical space of bioactive compounds that could assist in overcoming the loss of rooting capability in difficult-to-root plants. Synthetic auxins constitute a large set of small organic molecules with structural resemblance to IAA and that mimic the effects of the endogenous IAA by promoting the interaction between the auxin receptors transport inhibitor response1 (TIR1)/auxin-signaling F-box (AFB) and Aux/IAA⁴³. Despite this central similarity, differences in metabolism⁴⁴, transport^{45,46} and perception specificity⁴⁷⁻⁴⁹ have been observed between IAA and several synthetic auxins (and among themselves), which presumably lead to different expression profiles of auxin responsive genes and/or sets of auxin-related phenotypes^{49,50}. A number of synthetic auxins have been previously shown to promote rooting⁵¹ (for example 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid (2,4-D) and 2,4,5-trichlorophenoxyacetic acid), however, with the exception of NAA, their high auxin activity limits their practical use due to high phytotoxicity, or promotion of callus instead of roots⁵². We envisioned that the inherent phytotoxicity and growth-inhibitory effect of synthetic auxins could be mitigated by their slow release in planta, maintaining

a low yet functional level of the bioactive molecule over a prolonged time, thus opening the door to uses beyond their traditional role as herbicides⁵³. Moreover, lengthy auxin treatments were reported to improve AR induction^{54–57}, which could further enhance the effective-ness of a slow-release approach.

In this Article, to test this hypothesis, we synthesized a rationally designed, focused library of four synthetic auxins conjugated to different residues, under the presumption that the conjugates will be hydrolyzed in planta (either enzymatically or chemically) to release the parent synthetic auxin. The conjugates were evaluated on difficult-to-root cuttings obtained from mature parts of *E. grandis* trees (Fig. 1a). A leading compound was found to enhanceregeneration rates by two to threefold when applied to cuttings from diverse woody species. The dynamics underlying the compound activity are described herein.

Results

Design and screening of synthetic auxins conjugates

To develop a suitable chemical library, the synthetic auxins 4-chlorophenoxyaceticacid(4-CPA)(1),2-methyl-4-chlorophenoxyacetic acid (MCPA) (2), 2-(2,4-dichlorophenoxy) propionic acid (2-DP) (3) and NAA (4) were chosen for conjugation. The first three belong to the phenoxy acid family⁵⁸ and feature a relatively strong, medium and weak auxin activity, respectively, as determined by root elongation inhibition of *Prosopis juliflora*⁵⁹. NAA belongs to the aromatic acetate family⁶⁰ and is often used in commercial rooting enhancement mixtures². Each of the synthetic auxins (1-4) was conjugated through its carboxylic acid, a required moiety for the hormone biological activity⁶¹⁻⁶³, with a series of amine residues or methanol, forming a set of 39 conjugates (1-4a-q, Supplementary Fig. 1). The rooting enhancement capability of the conjugates and the free auxins (43 compounds in total) was evaluated using cuttings from mature E. grandis trees, which regenerate roots at low efficiency following 1 min submergence treatment with K-IBA, the potassium salt of IBA and the agricultural 'gold standard' rooting enhancer⁶. The conjugates (100 μ M) were applied by submerging the cutting base for 1 min or by spraying the cutting apical part, either as a standalone treatment or in combination with a 6,000 ppm K-IBA (24.9 mM) submergence treatment. The cuttings were then incubated in a rooting table for approximately 1 month before examination. In total, 20-90 cuttings were tested per conjugate-based treatment and ~500 cuttings per K-IBA control treatment. At the chosen screening concentration (100 µM), none of the compounds outperformed K-IBA as a standalone treatment; however, applications based on the combination of compounds 1a, 1j, 1o, 1p or 1q with K-IBA showed significantly higher rooting rates (Supplementary Fig. 2). Of these compounds, 1q, a conjugate of 4-CPA to L-tryptophan methyl ester (L-Trp-OMe, Fig. 1b), had the strongest effect, with nearly 40% root regeneration for either spray or submergence treatments when combined with K-IBA, compared with 17% for K-IBA alone (Supplementary Fig. 2). Of note, the corresponding free synthetic auxins at a similar concentration had no positive effect when combined with K-IBA. Likewise, increasing the amount of K-IBA applied as a single treatment from 6,000 up to 12,000 ppm did not improve rooting rates (Supplementary Fig. 3), and high-performance liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (HPLC-MS/MS) analysis shows similar IBA levels in cuttings 15 min after application of K-IBA or K-IBA + 1q (Supplementary Fig. 4), ruling out mere increase in auxin levels or IBA uptake as underlying the effect observed when conjugates were added. Owing to its hydrophobicity, applying higher concentrations of 1q in a water-based solution was found to be challenging. As an alternative, we combined spray and submergence treatments, each at three different concentrations (20, 50 and 100 μ M), in addition to 6,000 ppm K-IBA, to increase the applied concentration of 1q. Strikingly, this dual application method resulted in AR induction efficiencies of 66% and 77% in response to 1q at 20 and 50 µM, respectively (Fig. 1c), ~3-fold higher than K-IBA alone.

This effect was accompanied by the formation of a comparable number, however significantly longer, roots per rooted cutting compared with K-IBA (Fig. 1d,e). To conclude, we find that a simple and short application of a synthetic auxin-based conjugate significantly augmented the saturated effect of K-IBA on de novo root regeneration, which is a critical practice for the agricultural industry.

Distinct bioavailability of 4-CPA underlies 1q activity

We speculated that 1q exerts its bioactivity via a two-step process, in which **1g** is first hydrolyzed to its carboxylic acid form (**1r**), followed by removal of the amino acid that leads to release of bioactive 4-CPA (Fig. 2a). To rule out the possibility that 1q itself can interact with the auxin-perception machinery, and thus directly modulate AR formation, its ability to affect the TIR1-Aux/IAA7 auxin-perception complex formation was evaluated in vitro via surface plasmon resonance (SPR) measurements. The results show that neither 1q nor 1r have any measurable auxin or anti-auxin activity (Fig. 2b and Supplementary Fig. 5, respectively). Thus, the activity of 1q seems to depend on its ability to release a bioactive 4-CPA. To understand the fate of 1q in planta, cuttings of E. grandis were submerged and sprayed with either 4-CPA or 1q (in addition to K-IBA submergence), and the small-molecule content of the cutting bases were analyzed periodically via HPLC-MS/MS for up to 8 days following treatment. Figure 2c shows the metabolic derivatives of 1q following its application, and Fig. 2d shows the levels of 4-CPA measured following 1q or free 4-CPA application. The first time point, 1 h postapplication, illuminates one of the features of 1q; the esterification of the carboxylic acid leads to a more hydrophobic molecule (calculated logD at pH 7.0: 0.06 versus 3.17), resulting in a tenfold higher uptake of 1q (Fig. 2c) compared with free 4-CPA (Fig. 2d) $(515.5 \pm 24.4 \text{ versus } 53.2 \pm 5.6 \text{ pg mg}^{-1} \text{ fresh weight (FW)})$. This time point also demonstrates the rapid de-esterification of 1q in planta, with ~13% 1r out of the measured 1q-derived forms, and a negligible amount of 4-CPA, pointing to the amide bond cleavage as the rate-limiting step in 4-CPA release. Indeed, 6 h after application, 1q levels decreased by ~82% (to 90.9 \pm 4.1 pg mg⁻¹ FW) while comparable 1r and 4-CPA levels were detected (48.5 \pm 0.8 and 38.2 \pm 1.1 pg mg⁻¹FW, respectively). This observation suggests that initially, a significant portion of 1q is not available for immediate de-esterification. In the subsequent ~48 h, 1q level remained relatively constant whilst a clear conversion of 1r to 4-CPA was detected. Interestingly, despite the higher uptake of 1q compared with free 4-CPA, the maximal level of 4-CPA was comparable in both treatments (53.2 \pm 4.0 and 72.0 \pm 2.0 pg mg⁻¹ FW for 4-CPA or 1q, respectively) (Fig. 2d). However, the timing of their formation was strikingly different; while 4-CPA level peaked 1 h postapplication for the free 4-CPA, it only peaked after 24 h for 1q (Fig. 2d). In addition, clearance rates were very different: 4-CPA retained an approximate physiologically relevant level of an auxin (>10 pg mg⁻¹FW, as measured for IAA in E. grandis cuttings, Supplementary Fig. 6) for only 2 days when applied directly but persisted for >6 days when applied in the form of 1q (Fig. 2c,d). The above observations suggest that 1q application could support prolonged auxin signaling in planta. To further evaluate this point, we turned to Arabidopsis thaliana, first seeking to establish the activity of 1q in this model plant and then to correlate it with auxin signaling. In line with the results in E. grandis, a brief (1.5 h) shoot application of 1q, but not of 4-CPA or IBA (10 µM), resulted in a substantial increase in AR formation of intact etiolated Arabidopsis seedlings (Fig. 2e and Supplementary Fig. 7). In accord, applying the same treatment to Arabidopsis DR5:Luciferase line, encoding for a high-turnover auxin reporter suitable for long-term imaging⁶⁴, led to stronger and prolonged auxin signaling in response to 1q compared with 4-CPA (Fig. 2f). Importantly, these observations also demonstrate that K-IBA treatment is not necessarily a prerequisite for the activity of 1q. Collectively, the results of the above experiments suggest that 1q serves as a reservoir for continuous auxin release that promotes AR induction and development.



Fig. 2| The 1q combines high penetration with slow 4-CPA release to facilitate prolonged auxin signaling. a, A schematic representation of 4-CPA release from 1q in planta. b, SPR assay testing the intrinsic activity of the indicated compounds or DMSO (1%) in vitro using TIR1 and IAA7 degron. IP stands for injection point of TIR1 mixed with the tested auxin in solution, RU stands for resonance unit. c, HPLC-MS/MS quantification of the compounds shown in a following application of 1q (100 μ M) + K-IBA (6,000 ppm). Each sample is composed of three replicates, extracted from a pool of 20 cutting bases collected together, and the means are presented in logarithmic scale. The error bars represent s.e.m. d, HPLC-MS/MS quantification of 4-CPA following 1q (100 μ M) + K-IBA (6,000 ppm). Each sample consists of three replicates except for 4-CPA after 1 h, which consists of two. The sample

Evading IAA homeostasis regulators amplifies 4-CPA signaling In addition to the characteristics of the conjugate, which engender higher uptake and slow auxin release, intrinsic properties of the released synthetic auxin might shape the cellular responses to 1q and were therefore examined. SPR measurements showed that 4-CPA is a weaker binder of TIR1 than IAA, by ~2 orders of magnitude (Fig. 2b). A comparable weaker auxin activity was found in vivo, using qualitative (lacZ-based, TIR1 + Aux/IAA7, Supplementary Fig. 8a) and quantitative (degron-yellow fluorescent protein (YFP) based, TIR1 + Aux/IAA9 and AFB20 + Aux/IAA9) yeast 2-hybrid (Y2H) assays (Supplementary Fig. 8b). Initial weak auxin activity was also found in root growth inhibition and DR5: Venus response assays in Arabidopsis (Fig. 3a,b). Several synthetic auxins were shown to evoke unique expression profiles of auxin responsive genes compared with IAA⁵⁰, which could underlie the AR promotion activity observed for 1q. An extended analysis of 4-CPA binding performances by a systematic evaluation of 11 Aux/IAA and both TIR1 and AFB2 receptors (with the appropriate half maximal effective concentration, EC₅₀, for each, calculated from the curves shown in Supplementary Fig. 8b), did not reveal a specific degradation pattern in response to 4-CPA (Fig. 3c and Supplementary Fig. 8c). Thus, based on the Arabidopsis auxin-perception mechanism, a differential signaling response to 4-CPA as a result of unique binding is unlikely. Nevertheless, while Arabidopsis root growth recovers quickly from IAA inhibition, it is entirely arrested in response to 4-CPA (Fig. 3a), suggesting differences in transport and/or catabolism between the two molecules. A shoot-to-root movement assay in Arabidopsis implied

Nature Biotechnology

composition is as specified in **c**. Means are presented in logarithmic scale. The error bars represent s.e.m. **e**, Percentages of 2-week-old seedlings (*Arabidopsis*) that developed ARs in response to the indicated treatments (10 μ M for IBA, 4-CPA or **1q** and 0.1% for DMSO applied specifically to shoots for 1.5 h via a split dish). Shown are *P* values of Fisher's exact test testing the hypothesis that **1q** treatment results in higher rooting percentages (a one-sided test), *n* = 37, 35, 38 and 37, respectively. The average AR number for rooted seedlings treated with **1q** was 4.48 ± 0.65 (s.e.m.), *n* = 27. **f**, Time-lapse quantification of *DR5:luciferase* activity in *Arabidopsis* seedlings following the indicated treatments (10 μ M for 4-CPA or **1q** and 0.1% for DMSO. Shoot-specific 1.5 h application). Each dot represents -20 seedlings (pooled). *P* = 2.93 × 10⁻⁵, repeated measures analysis of variance (ANOVA).

that 4-CPA is a mobile auxin (Supplementary Fig. 9). However, although 4-CPA was found to utilize the native IAA importer auxin-resistant1 (AUX1) (Fig. 3d,e), a solid-supported membrane (SSM)-based electrophysiology assay testing the transport activity of PIN-FORMED8 (PIN8), an adopted model for PINs activity⁶⁵, demonstrated that unlike IAA (and the analog 2,4-D⁶⁵), 4-CPA did not induce a significant current response at the concentration tested (20 µM) (Fig. 3f). These observations suggest that 4-CPA is only partially subjected to the canonical polar auxin transport mechanism. Unlike 4-CPA, AUX1-expressing oocytes did not accumulate 1r upon 30 min incubation (Supplementary Fig. 10a), and comparable levels of 1q (and its derivative 1r) were found in both AUX1-expressing and nonexpressing oocytes (Supplementary Fig. 10b). To evaluate the contribution of 4-CPA movement to AR formation following 1q treatment, we examined the aux/lax quadruple mutant⁶⁶, and found it insensitive to AR induction by 1q (brief shoot application, Supplementary Fig. 11). Together, these experiments suggest that cell-to-cell movement of 4-CPA, but not of its precursors, is crucial for effective AR induction in response to a brief treatment of 1q. To address the hypothesis that 4-CPA differs from IAA not only in transport but also in catabolism, we adopted the gh3 octuple mutant, in which IAA inactivation via conjugation to amino acids is deficient⁶⁷. The activity of enzymes from this family was recently shown to be the first step in auxin catabolism⁶⁸. By measuring root growth after 6 days of treatment with IAA or 4-CPA at 10 nM (conditions showing similar effect on growth of Col-O roots, Fig. 3a,g) we found the gh3 plants to be hyper-sensitive to IAA, but not to 4-CPA (Fig. 3g). These results are in line

1.00

0.75

0.50

0.25

0

IAA

4

TAAN2 TAAS ++ VAAII T O LAAD

Aux/IAA

r AA

60

AAO AA0

30

Time (min)

4-CPA

à

Þ

•

ø

2

IAA20 IAA20

f

Peak current (nA)

1.5

1.0

0.5

0

6

Time (days)

а

Normalized root elongation

С

Fluorescence intensity (AU)

e

Content (µmol l⁻¹)

0.16

0.14

0.12

0.10

0.08

48

96

 $P < 1 \times 10^{\circ}$

4-CPA (100)

P = 0 037

24

 $P < 1 \times 10$

IAA (50)

Treatment (nM)

 $10^{-7} P = 1 \times 10^{-7}$

Time (h)

Treatment (nM)

DMSO

IAA (100)

4-CPA (100)

Genotype

Col

aux1–7

Treatment (nM)

DMSO

IAA (10)

4-CPA (10)





Fig. 3 Bypassing key auxin homeostasis regulators supports 4-CPA longterm signaling. a, Means of normalized root elongation of seedlings incubated with IAA or 4-CPA at the indicated concentrations (0.1% DMSO as control), n > 18plants per sample. The error bars represent s.e.m. b, The activity of the auxin reporter DR5:Venus in roots, n > 4 root tips per sample. Shown are means of fluorescence intensity. The error bars represent s.e.m. c, Quantitative Y2H assay using TIR1 and YFP-tagged Aux/IAAs and the indicated auxin or ethanol (0.1%) as mock, n = 3 biological replicates. Shown are means of fluorescence intensity. The error bars represent s.e.m. d, Root elongation of aux1-7 seedlings in response to

Compound

4-CPA

IAA

honest significant difference (HSD) P values are presented. e, HPLC-MS/MS quantification of the indicated auxin in oocyte cells expressing AUX1 transporter, n = 3 biological replicates. **f**, SSM-based electrophysiology assay with empty or PIN8-containing proteoliposomes, n = 4 biological replicates. Two-sided Student's t-test P values are presented. g, Root elongation of gh3 octuple mutant plants in response to a 3 day treatment with the indicated compounds, n > 18



b

30

20

10

0

DMSO

6

Fluorescence intensity (AU)

Concentration (nM)

d

Root elongation (cm)

2.0

1.5

1.0

0.5

0

g

- 10

- 50

100

6

Treatment (uM)

Ethanol

IAA (1.5)

P = 0.003

4-CPA (450)

•



Fig. 4 | Hydrolysis of 1r to 4-CPA is enzymatically regulated in *E. grandis* and *Arabidopsis*. a, Structures of the enantiomers 1q and 1s (left) and HPLC– MS/MS quantification of 4-CPA 24 h after apical or basal application with the indicated enantiomer (100 μ M) + K-IBA basal treatment (6,000 ppm), or K-IBA (6,000 ppm) as mock (right). b, Root elongation of the *ilr1-1 ill2-1 iar3-2* triple mutant in response to a 3 day treatment with 1q or DMSO (0.1%), *n* > 47 plants per sample. Two-sided Tukey's HSD *P* values are presented. c, Percentages of seedlings developed ARs in response to 1q treatment (10 μ M for 1q and 0.1% for DMSO. Shoot-specific 1.5 h application in a split dish), *n* > 43 plants per sample. The *P* value of Fisher's exact test testing the hypothesis that 1q treatment results with higher rooting percentages is shown (a one-sided test). **d**, Top: docking calculations of **Ir** with ILR1, ILL2 and IAR3. Amino acids are color coded according to the Kessel/Ben-Tal hydrophobicity scale⁹⁰ (ranging from most hydrophobic (yellow) to most hydrophilic (blue)). Bottom: in vitro hydrolytic activity of the enzymes toward **Iq** (blue) or **Ir** (pink) as monitored by HPLC–MS/MS. Absorbance was normalized by dividing each point with the minimal value in the according dataset. For clearer presentation, normalized units of the reactions with **Ir** were multiplied by 1.1. **Iq** is not cleaved by any of the enzymes while **Ir** is efficiently cleaved by ILR1 and ILL2 but not by IAR3 to release free 4-CPA.

and/or 1r. To test this hypothesis, we adopted the Arabidopsis triple mutant ilr1 ill2 iar3, which shows a compromised response to a range of IAA-amino acid conjugates^{68,73}. The *ilr1 ill2 iar3* triple mutant was insensitive to 1q in root elongation (continuous incubation, measured after 3 days, Fig. 4b) and in AR induction in etiolated seedlings (brief shoot application, Fig. 4c and Supplementary Fig. 13) assays. To validate these results, the appropriate glutathione S-transferase (GST)-recombinant Arabidopsis enzymes were tested in vitro for their activity against 1q and 1r, or against IAA-alanine (IAA-Ala), an established substrate⁷¹, serving to verify the enzymes activity in the assay. While all three enzymes hydrolyzed IAA-Ala (Supplementary Fig. 14), only ILR1 and ILL2 efficiently hydrolyzed 1r, and none hydrolyzed the parent 1q (Fig. 4d). Of note, a marginal but detectable activity of ILR1 and ILL2 was also detected against the D-enantiomer of 1r (1t, Supplementary Fig. 14d), which might explain the minor bioactivity observed for its parent compound 1s in Arabidopsis (Supplementary Fig. 12). In an attempt to better understand their specificities, we turned to the three-dimensional structures of the three enzymes, using the available X-ray crystal structure of ILL2 (ref. 74) and AlphaFold⁷⁵ predictions for ILR1 and IAR3. We found the ligand-binding pockets of the two active enzymes, ILR1 and ILL2, to contain a deep hydrophobic niche,

in contrast to the pocket of IAR3, which is elongated, shallow and contains a smaller hydrophobic patch (Supplementary Fig. 15). In agreement, molecular docking calculations (Glide, Schrödinger, 2021-4) positioned the nonpolar indole of 1r inside the deep hydrophobic niche of the active enzymes, while in the nonactive IAR3, neither the indole nor the phenoxy group formed sufficient nonpolar interactions with the catalytic pocket (Fig. 4d). Further correlating the ligand-pocket nonpolar interactions to substantial enzymatic activity, docking analysis positioned the indole group of IAA-Ala inside the IAR3 pocket, in close interaction with the hydrophobic patch (Supplementary Fig. 16). Having established that ILR1 and ILL2 are responsible for the hydrolysis of 1r, we nevertheless observed a residual root growth inhibition for ilr1 ill2 iar3 in response to longer incubation durations with 1q (Supplementary Fig. 17), implying participation of additional amidohydrolase (Ah). We speculated that other ILL enzymes might underlie this effect, and generated two quintuple mutant lines; ilr1 ill2 iar3 ill3 ill5 and ilr1 ill2 iar3 ill1 ill6 (termed quintuple 3,5 or 1,6 respectively) using clustered regularly interspaced palindromic repeats-associated protein 9 (Supplementary Figs. 18-21). The quintuple 3,5 was only slightly less sensitive to a 7 day incubation with 1q (0.5 μ M) compared with the triple mutant, while the quintuple 1,6 was entirely resistant

(Supplementary Fig. 17a). Structural modeling of the four enzymes (ILL1, 3, 5 and 6) revealed differences in the hydrophobicity and geometry of their ligand-binding sites, with ILL1 and ILL6 binding sites being more hydrophobic than those of ILL3 and ILL5 (Supplementary Fig. 17b). Collectively, we established that the second, rate-limiting step in 4-CPA release is enzymatically regulated, and that members of the ILR1/ILLs family are the major enzymes cleaving **1r** to release 4-CPA in planta.

Structural conservation of ILR1s supports 4-CPA release

Identifying specific members of the ILR1/ILLs family as the main activators of **1q** in planta opened the door to rationalizing and predicting its activation in other difficult-to-root cultivars. To this end, we performed a phylogenetic analysis based on 301 ILR1/ILLs proteins from 43 seed plants that suggested two subtrees (Fig. 5a). The two super-families are composed of two (AhA1-A2) and three (AhB1-3) distinct groups, with members of Arabidopsis occupying the AhA1 (ILL3), AhA2 (ILR1), AhB1 (ILL6) and AhB2 (ILL1, ILL2, IAR3 and ILL5) groups (Fig. 5a). We first sought to determine whether activation of 1q is functionally conserved between Arabidopsis and E. grandis. The E. grandis genome contains 11 ILR1/ILLs genes, of which we suggest only 9 to be active, based on proteins sequence length and transcriptome of manually enriched vascular-cambium tissue (Fig. 5a,5b and Supplementary Fig. 22). We focused on family AhA2 due to its high-confidence topology compared with AhB2 (Fig. 5a and alternative tree in Supplementary Fig. 23), and since the single E. grandis protein in the AhB1 group is apparently a pseudogene (Eucgr.F03795; expression not detected, and short putative protein sequence of 290 amino acids). Of the three active AhA2 genes, Eucgr.K02589 (the suggested direct ortholog of ILR1; Fig. 5a) and Eucgr.K02598 (which is clustered at the other orthologous group of Ah2A) were found to be highly expressed in vascular cambium obtained 24 h after K-IBA treatment (Fig. 5b). The two genes were separately overexpressed in the Arabidopsis ilr1 ill2 iar3 triple mutant background and their enzymatic activity was inferred from a root-growth complementation assay in the presence of 1q. Interestingly, while lines overexpressing *Eucgr.K02589* restored the sensitivity to **1q** in a root-growth inhibition assay, lines expressing Eucgr.K02598 did not (Fig. 5c). In agreement, structural modeling and docking calculations found the ligand-binding pocket of Eucgr.K02598 flatter than those of Eucgr.K02589 and ILR1, and less favorable for the indole or phenoxy groups of 1r to form significant nonpolar and van der Waals interactions (Fig. 5d). These observations promote the hypothesis that Eucgr.K02589 contributes to the hydrolysis of 1q to release active 4-CPA in the cambium. To broaden this observation, we similarly tested the activity of orthologs of ILR1/ Eucgr.K02589 from Populus trichocarpa (Potri.006G207400, Pt400) and Prunus persica (Prupe.7G100000, Pp000), and one ortholog of Eucgr.K02598 from *Populus trichocarpa* (Potri.016G074100, Pt100). Again, only Pt400 and Pp000 but not Pt100 restored the response to 1q (Fig. 5c), a trend that was further supported by structural modeling and docking calculations (Fig. 5d).

Collectively, the above experiments provide evidence that structural conservation of the ligand-binding pocket among members of the ILR orthologous group supports **1r** cleavage, demonstrating the potential of structural modeling and docking calculations to predict the activation of **1q** in various plant species.

1q enhances ARs formation of distantly related woody species The experimental evidence for enhanced de novo root regeneration following **1q** application, together with the conservation of its key activating enzymes in diverse plant species, inspired us to examine the utility of **1q** in alleviating the barrier to rooting of agriculturally and environmentally important difficult-to-root cultivars. We first examined *Eucalyptus x trabutii*, a very difficult-to-propogate hybrid of *E. camaldulensis* and *E. botryoides*, that has a relatively high resistance to cold⁷⁶ and is important in supporting honeybee nutrition during the Israeli autumn⁷⁷. For this hybrid, a combined application of **1q** and K-IBA dramatically outpreformed K-IBA alone in rooting efficency (45% versus none, Fig. 6a). Likewise, for the apple (*Malus domestica*) rootstock clone CG41, which supports high yields, dwarfism and resistance to soil diseases but is considered difficult to root⁷⁸⁻⁸⁰, supplementation of **1q** increased rooting rate by ~2-fold compared with K-IBA alone (Fig. 6b). As part of our efforts to support local cultivation of the argan tree (Argania spinosa), a species known for its tolerance to extreme environmental conditions and for its valuable oil, we evaluated several clones: three difficult-to-root clones (C124, C127 and ARS7 (ref. 81)), of which the first two were directly obtained from the first trees that were planted in Israel as part of a botanical garden in 1931, and an easy-to-root clone, ARS1 (ref. 81). Application of 1q doubled the rooting rates of cuttings from the >90-year-old C127 plant material but did not increase the low rooting efficiencies of C124 (Fig. 6c). For ARS7, again, 1g doubled the basal root formation response to K-IBA, while for the more permissive ARS1, maximal response was found in both treatments (Fig. 6c). The success of the combined K-IBA + 1q treatment enabled us to generate several plantations of selected elite clones of argan for further analyzing yield and profitability under different soil and climate conditions around the country (Supplementary Fig. 24). Altogether, these results suggest that woody, mature cuttings, for which poor regeneration rates are attributed to low auxin sensitivity, the saturated effect of IBA can be increased by low levels of 1q (μ M range). The results further suggest that ectopic addition of IBA is not necessarily a prerequisite for the rooting enhancement response to 1q in mature woody tissues. Indeed, the rooting rates of Populus alba cuttings were doubled following application of 1q as a standalone treatment (Fig. 6d). In line with the hypothesis of a conserved enzymatic hydrolysis being responsible for 4-CPA release, the basal parts of cuttings from Eucalyptus x trabutii, the ARS7 argan line and Populus alba accumulated 4-CPA dominantly following 1q application but not of its enantiomer 1s (Supplementary Fig. 25).

Discussion

A model of the dynamics and metabolic fate of 1q in planta is shown in Fig. 6e. We suggest that following application, 1q efficiently penetrates into the plant tissues and then into cells due to its neutral charge at a physiological pH and overall hydrophobicity. In the cells, the ester bond is quickly hydrolyzed (either chemically or by abundant cellular esterases) forming 1r, which is mostly ionized in the cellular pH and therefore trapped inside the cell in the absence of efficient active transport⁸². Alternatively, **1g** could be hydrolyzed extracellularly. This scenario, however, is less likely considering that the highly acidic 1r (predicted pK_3 3.3) is mostly ionized in the apoplast pH, which will result in low cellular accessibility⁸². In agreement, in long-exposure root elongation assays that mitigate differences in the uptake of small molecules, Arabidopsis roots were found to be more sensitive to 1q than to 1r (Supplementary Fig. 12). Subsequently, 1r is cleaved by members of the ILR1/ILLs family, which presumably reside in the endoplasmic reticulum⁸³, to release 4-CPA intracellularly. Thus, although the measurements in E. grandis cutting bases detected comparable maxima levels of 4-CPA following 1q or free 4-CPA treatments (Fig. 2c,d), we suggest higher intracellular accumulation of 4-CPA in response to 1q. Practically, the dynamics and metabolic fate of 1q in planta translate into a slow-release mechanism of a bioactive auxin inside the cells.

While the immediate auxin signaling elicited by 4-CPA is weaker than the one evoked in response to a native auxin, the higher cellular stability of 4-CPA supports an amplified and more sustained signaling over time. We provide biochemical evidence that 4-CPA is not a favorable substrate to the PIN8 transporter, and genetic evidence for its low affinity to the IAA conjugating enzymes GH3s (ref. 84). Since transport and conjugation are two of the main feedback responses to auxin^{85,86}, we suggest that evading these homeostasis regulators further contributes to prolonged auxin signaling. We also provide data suggesting that 4-CPA is able to move basipetally, and that AUX1 is required for its rooting enhancement effect. This, in turn, suggests that 4-CPA is a



AhA2a

AhA2b

Fig. 5 | **Structural conservation of ILR1 ligand-binding pocket contributes to 4-CPA release. a**, Left: phylogenetic analysis of ILR1/ILLs family in seed plants. Sequences from the charophyte algae *Klebsormidium nitens* were used as an outgroup. Right: subtree presenting the phylogeny of core angiosperm sequences in family AhA2. Annotated are the characterized enzymes; Eucgr.K02598 (Eg98), Potri.016G074100 (Pt100), Potri.006G207400 (Pt400), Prupe.7G100000 (Pp000), Eucgr.K02589 (Eg89) and ILR1. Branches are annotated in brown or red for bootstrap values lower than 85 or 70, respectively. **b**, The expression profile (shown as normalized counts according to DESeq2) of *E. grandis* ILR1/ILLs in samples enriched for cambium tissue of mature cuttings, either immediately after collecting the tissue (*t*₀, blue) or 24 h after

subject of an uncharacterized efflux transporter, which may support the compound basipetal movement, presumably through the phloem bulk flow, following apical application. Whether apical response to K-IBA (6,000 ppm) submergence (t_{24} K-IBA, pink). The adjusted P value (P_{adj}) (calculated by DESeq2) is presented. ND, not detected. **c**, Normalized root elongation in response to 4 day treatment with **1q** (300 nM) or DMSO (0.1%) as mock, n = 78 or 72 for Ws-2 or *ilr1-1 ill2-1 iar3-2* (triple), respectively, and >25 for overexpression lines. At least ten T₂ lines for each transgene were characterized, and data were collected from single, homozygous lines. Lower-case letters indicate significant groups based on two-sided Tukey's HSD test (all significant comparisons are based on P values smaller than 3×10^{-7}). **d**, Docking modeling of **1r** with the indicated enzymes. Amino acids are color coded according to residues hydrophobicity, ranging from most hydrophobic (yellow) to most hydrophilic (blue).

4-CPA contributes indirectly to AR formation remains an open question. Identification of 4-CPA homeostasis regulators (for example, metabolism and transport) will provide a better understanding of





e

Fig. 6 | **1q is a robust rooting enhancer for woody cuttings. a**–**c**, Rooting percentages of mature cuttings obtained from the indicated species and clones applied with the dual treatment of K-IBA (6,000 ppm) + **1q** (50 μ M), *n* = 20 (**a**), 41 and 43 (**b**) and >36 (**c**) cuttings per sample. *P* values of Fisher's exact test testing the hypothesis that the dual treatment results with higher rooting percentages than K-IBA alone are shown (a one-sided test). **d**, Rooting percentages of mature cuttings obtained from *Populus alba* treated with **1q** as a single agent at the indicated concentrations (shown in brackets as μ M), *n* = 30 cuttings per sample. The *P* value of Fisher's exact test testing the hypothesis that the **1q** treatment results with higher rooting percentages compared with mock (0.1% DMSO) is shown (a one-sided test). The duration of all experiments was 1–2 months. **e**, **f**, A model comparing the fate of **1q** and K-IBA following their application to woody cuttings. Schematic illustration of **1q** (purple) and K-IBA (green) fate when

applied to woody cuttings (e) and their ensuing auxin signaling (f). Although K-IBA is applied at a very high concentration, its mostly negative charge under physiological conditions limits its accessibility to the plant, and later to cells. Inside the cell, IBA is converted into IAA, a strong yet highly regulated auxin. We suggest that these are the main factors underlying the auxin signaling pattern following a short K-IBA treatment (f). In contrast, **1q** is hydrophobic, limiting its concentration in a water-based solution, yet enabling enhanced tissue and cellular uptake. We suggest that efficient auxin delivery by **1q** is also a result of two distinct hydrolytic steps, responsible for a graduate, slow 4-CPA release. Moreover, 4-CPA is largely resistant to auxin feedback regulation, such as conjugation and transport, thus facilitating prolonged auxin signaling compared with IAA (f).

the contribution of these processes to the activity of **1q**. The delivery model we describe offers an advantage over the traditional application of free auxins (for example, IBA, NAA and so on) that are typically mostly ionized in the apoplast and may require active transport for efficient uptake. Together, our observations suggest that the short dual application of IBA and **1q** enables a fast and strong auxin response (K-IBA applied at mM concentrations) followed by a prolonged and sustaining signaling (**1q** applied at μ M) (Fig. 6f).

Using a two phases of auxin treatment, Ludwig-Muller et al. were able to distinguish between induction of callus proliferation and AR establishment⁵⁴. In analogy to our system, a higher-resolution understanding of how the two compounds interact (temporally and spatially) during AR induction and development is of significant mechanistic and practical interest, and may assist in optimizing future applications. Moreover, the flexible molecular design of a synthetic auxin conjugate can be further fine tuned by modulating either the synthetic auxin or its conjugated amino acid to provide a palette of auxin responses varying in strength and duration that could be tailored to different plant species and even specific clones.

One apparent limitation of 1q is that easy-to-root trees do not necessarily benefit from its application, which might even be inhibitory. This could be a result of an already high level of auxin in the cuttings. Nevertheless, easy-to-root trees may benefit from treatment with 1q when rooting is performed outside of the optimal season⁸⁷. The enzyme dependency of auxin release means that the efficacy of 1q in different trees might be attenuated by the expression level of these enzyme, and could require an ad hoc optimization of the applied concentration. Finally, the application method explored herein relies on dipping of cuttings in a solution containing an organic solvent to impart solubility. Although this is a commonly practiced method^{2,55}, further formulation of 1q to industry standards⁸⁸ (for example, talc powder, water dispersible granules or an emulsifiable concentrate) can be leveraged to eliminate the need for an organic solvent or to allow for alternative application methods. In any case, it would important to retain the hydrophobic nature of 1q to maintain its efficient uptake into tissues.

In conclusion, the ability of **1q** to significantly improve rooting rates in cuttings from multiple species of commercially relevant, difficult-to-root trees, together with an industry-compatible application method, and given the widespread use of rooting enhancers in many agriculture, horticulture and forestry sectors, suggest a high level of commercial readiness. In addition, the slow-release approach as applied herein can be incorporated into other agricultural practices in which auxin is applied beyond as an herbicide, such as modulating root system complexity, controlling fruit growth or the timing of fruits set⁸⁹, to allow for more optimized responses.

Online content

Any methods, additional references, Nature Portfolio reporting summaries, source data, extended data, supplementary information, acknowledgements, peer review information; details of author contributions and competing interests; and statements of data and code availability are available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-023-02065-3.

References

- 1. Verstraeten, I., Schotte, S. & Geelen, D. Hypocotyl adventitious root organogenesis differs from lateral root development. *Front. Plant Sci.* **5**, 495 (2014).
- Hartmann, H. T., Kester, D. E., Davis, F. T., Geneve, R. L. & Wilson, S. B. Hartmann & Kester's Plant Propagation: Principles and Practices (Pearson, 2017).
- 3. Poethig, R. S. Phase change and the regulation of shoot morphogenesis in plants. *Science* **250**, 923–930 (1990).
- Pijut, P. M., Woeste, K. E. & Michler, C. H. Promotion of adventitious root formation of difficult-to-root hardwood tree species. *Hortic. Rev.* 38, 213 (2011).
- 5. Hackett, W. P. Juvenility, maturation, and rejuvenation in woody plants. *Hortic. Rev.* **7**, 109–154 (2011).
- Wilcox, J. R. & Farmer, R. E. Heritability and C effects in early root growth of eastern cottonwood cuttings. *Heredity* 23, 239–245 (1968).
- Grattapaglia, D., Bertolucci, F. L. & Sederoff, R. R. Genetic mapping of QTLs controlling vegetative propagation in *Eucalyptus grandis* and *E. urophylla* using a pseudo-testcross strategy and RAPD markers. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* **90**, 933–947 (1995).
- Visser, E. J. W., Cohen, J. D., Barendse, G. W. M., Blom, C. W. P. M. & Voesenek, L. A. C. J. An ethylene-mediated increase in sensitivity to auxin induces adventitious root formation in flooded *Rumex palustris* Sm. *Plant Physiol.* **112**, 1687–1692 (1996).
- Sorin, C. et al. Auxin and light control of adventitious rooting in *Arabidopsis* require ARGONAUTE1. *Plant Cell* **17**, 1343–1359 (2005).
- Bellini, C., Pacurar, D. I. & Perrone, I. Adventitious roots and lateral roots: similarities and differences. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* 65, 639–666 (2014).
- Pacurar, D. I., Perrone, I. & Bellini, C. Auxin is a central player in the hormone cross-talks that control adventitious rooting. *Physiol. Plant.* 151, 83–96 (2014).
- 12. Caboni, E. et al. Biochemical aspects of almond microcuttings related to in vitro rooting ability. *Biol. Plant.* **39**, 91–97 (1997).
- 13. Lakehal, A. et al. A molecular framework for the control of adventitious rooting by TIR1/AFB2-Aux/IAA-dependent auxin signaling in *Arabidopsis*. *Mol. Plant* **12**, 1499–1514 (2019).
- Bellamine, J., Penel, C., Greppin, H. & Gaspar, T. Confirmation of the role of auxin and calcium in the late phases of adventitious root formation. *Plant Growth Regul.* 26, 191–194 (1998).
- Blažková, A. et al. Auxin metabolism and rooting in young and mature clones of Sequoia sempervirens. Physiol. Plant. 99, 73–80 (1997).
- Rasmussen, A., Hosseini, S. A., Hajirezaei, M.-R., Druege, U. & Geelen, D. Adventitious rooting declines with the vegetative to reproductive switch and involves a changed auxin homeostasis. *J. Exp. Bot.* 66, 1437–1452 (2015).

- 17. Abu-Abied, M. et al. Microarray analysis revealed upregulation of nitrate reductase in juvenile cuttings of *Eucalyptus grandis*, which correlated with increased nitric oxide production and adventitious root formation. *Plant J.* **71**, 787–799 (2012).
- Abarca, D. et al. The GRAS gene family in pine: transcript expression patterns associated with the maturation-related decline of competence to form adventitious roots. *BMC Plant Biol.* 14, 354 (2014).
- 19. Fahn, A. Plant Anatomy (Pergamon, 1990).
- 20. Uggla, C., Moritz, T., Sandberg, G. & Sundberg, B. Auxin as a positional signal in pattern formation in plants. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **93**, 9282–9286 (1996).
- Tuominen, H., Puech, L., Fink, S. & Sundberg, B. A radial concentration gradient of indole-3-acetic acid is related to secondary xylem development in hybrid aspen. *Plant Physiol.* 115, 577–585 (1997).
- 22. Ballester, A., San-José, M. C., Vidal, N., Fernández-Lorenzo, J. L. & Vieitez, A. M. Anatomical and biochemical events during in vitro rooting of microcuttings from juvenile and mature phases of chestnut. *Ann. Bot.* **83**, 619–629 (1999).
- Vidal, N., Arellano, G., San-José, M. C., Vieitez, A. M. & Ballester, A. Developmental stages during the rooting of in-vitro-cultured *Quercus robur* shoots from material of juvenile and mature origin. *Tree Physiol.* 23, 1247–1254 (2003).
- Legué, V., Rigal, A. & Bhalerao, R. P. Adventitious root formation in tree species: involvement of transcription factors. *Physiol. Plant.* 151, 192–198 (2014).
- 25. Ranjan, A. et al. Molecular basis of differential adventitious rooting competence in poplar genotypes. *J. Exp. Bot.* **73**, 4046–4064 (2022).
- 26. Díaz-Sala, C. Direct reprogramming of adult somatic cells toward adventitious root formation in forest tree species: the effect of the juvenile–adult transition. *Front. Plant Sci.* **5**, 310 (2014).
- 27. Solé, A. et al. Characterization and expression of a *Pinus radiata* putative ortholog to the *Arabidopsis* SHORT-ROOT gene. *Tree Physiol.* **28**, 1629–1639 (2008).
- Abu-Abied, M. et al. Gene expression profiling in juvenile and mature cuttings of *Eucalyptus grandis* reveals the importance of microtubule remodeling during adventitious root formation. *BMC Genom.* 15, 826 (2014).
- 29. de Almeida, M. R. et al. Reference gene selection for quantitative reverse transcription–polymerase chain reaction normalization during in vitro adventitious rooting in *Eucalyptus globulus* Labill. *BMC Mol. Biol.* **11**, 73 (2010).
- de Almeida, M. R. et al. Comparative transcriptional analysis provides new insights into the molecular basis of adventitious rooting recalcitrance in *Eucalyptus*. *Plant Sci.* 239, 155–165 (2015).
- Ruedell, C. M., de Almeida, M. R. & Fett-Neto, A. G. Concerted transcription of auxin and carbohydrate homeostasis-related genes underlies improved adventitious rooting of microcuttings derived from far-red treated *Eucalyptus globulus* Labill mother plants. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 97, 11–19 (2015).
- 32. Cooper, W. C. Hormones in relation to root formation on stem cuttings. *Plant Physiol.* **10**, 789 (1935).
- Oinam, G., Yeung, E., Kurepin, L., Haslam, T. & Lopez-Villalobos, A. Adventitious root formation in ornamental plants: I. General overview and recent successes. *Propag. Ornam. Plants* 11, 78–90 (2011).
- Epstein, E. & Ludwig-Müller, J. Indole-3-butyric acid in plants: occurrence, synthesis, metabolism and transport. *Physiol. Plant* 88, 382–389 (1993).
- 35. Strader, L. C. & Bartel, B. Transport and metabolism of the endogenous auxin precursor indole-3-butyric acid. *Mol. Plant* **4**, 477–486 (2011).

- Wiesman, Z., Riov, J. & Epstein, E. Comparison of movement and metabolism of indole-3-acetic acid and indole-3-butyric acid in mung bean cuttings. *Physiol. Plant* 74, 556–560 (1988).
- Felker, P. & Clark, P. R. Rooting of mesquite (*Prosopis*) cuttings. J. Range Manag. 34, 466–468 (1981).
- Van der Krieken, W. M. et al. in Biology of Root Formation and Development (eds Altman, Q. & Waisel, Y.) 95–104 (Springer, 1997).
- Mihaljevic, S. & Salopek-Sondi, B. Alanine conjugate of indole-3-butyric acid improves rooting of highbush blueberries. *Plant Soil Environ.* 58, 236–241 (2012).
- Haissig, B. E. Influence of aryl esters of indole-3-acetic and indole-3-butyric acids on adventitious root primordium initiation and development. *Physiol. Plant* 47, 29–33 (1979).
- Pizarro, A. & Díaz-Sala, C. Cellular dynamics during maturation-related decline of adventitious root formation in forest tree species. *Physiol. Plant* 165, 73–80 (2019).
- Vilasboa, J., da Costa, C. T. & Fett-Neto, A. G. Rooting of eucalypt cuttings as a problem-solving oriented model in plant biology. *Prog. Biophys. Mol. Biol.* **146**, 85–97 (2019).
- 43. Quareshy, M., Prusinska, J., Li, J. & Napier, R. A cheminformatics review of auxins as herbicides. *J. Exp. Bot.* **69**, 265–275 (2018).
- 44. Eyer, L. et al. 2,4-D and IAA amino acid conjugates show distinct metabolism in *Arabidopsis*. *PLoS ONE* **11**, e0159269 (2016).
- Yang, Y., Hammes, U. Z., Taylor, C. G., Schachtman, D. P. & Nielsen, E. High-affinity auxin transport by the AUX1 influx carrier protein. *Curr. Biol.* 16, 1123–1127 (2006).
- Hoyerova, K. et al. Auxin molecular field maps define AUX1 selectivity: many auxin herbicides are not substrates. *New Phytol.* 217, 1625–1639 (2018).
- Calderón Villalobos, L. I. A. et al. A combinatorial TIR1/AFB-Aux/ IAA co-receptor system for differential sensing of auxin. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* 8, 477–485 (2012).
- Lee, S. et al. Defining binding efficiency and specificity of auxins for SCFTIR1/AFB-Aux/IAA co-receptor complex formation. ACS Chem. Biol. 9, 673–682 (2014).
- 49. Vain, T. et al. Selective auxin agonists induce specific AUX/IAA protein degradation to modulate plant development. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **116**, 6463–6472 (2019).
- Pufky, J., Qiu, Y., Rao, M. V., Hurban, P. & Jones, A. M. The auxin-induced transcriptome for etiolated *Arabidopsis* seedlings using a structure/function approach. *Funct. Integr. Genom* 3, 135–143 (2003).
- Delargy, J. A. & Wright, C. E. Root formation in cuttings of apple in relation to auxin application and to etiolation. *New Phytol.* 82, 341–347 (1979).
- Verstraeten, I., Beeckman, T. & Geelen, D. in *Plant Organogenesis* (ed Ive De Smet) 159–175 (Springer, 2013).
- Grossmann, K. Auxin herbicides: current status of mechanism and mode of action. Pest Manag. Sci. 66, 113–120 (2010).
- Ludwig-Müller, J., Vertocnik, A. & Town, C. D. Analysis of indole-3-butyric acid-induced adventitious root formation on *Arabidopsis* stem segments. J. Exp. Bot. 56, 2095–2105 (2005).
- Blythe, E. K., Sibley, J. L., Tilt, K. M. & Ruter, J. M. Methods of auxin application in cutting propagation: a review of 70 years of scientific discovery and commercial practice. *J. Environ. Hortic* 25, 166–185 (2007).
- Riov, J. et al. Improved method for vegetative propagation of mature *Pinus halepensis* and its hybrids by cuttings. *Isr. J. Plant Sci.* 67, 5–15 (2020).
- 57. Eliasson, L. & Areblad, K. Auxin effects on rooting in pea cuttings. *Physiol. Plant* **61**, 293–297 (1984).
- 58. Wain, R. L., Wightman, F. & Russell, E. J. The growth-regulating activity of certain ω -substituted alkyl carboxylic acids in relation to their β -oxidation within the plant. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B* **142**, 525–536 (1954).

- Behrens, R. & Howard Morton, L. Some factors influencing activity of 12 phenoxy acids on mesquite root inhibition. *Plant Physiol.* 38, 165–170 (1963).
- Zimmerman, P. W. Several chemical growth substances which cause initiation of roots and other responses in plants. *Contrib. Boyce Thompson Inst.* 7, 209–229 (1935).
- 61. Katekar, G. F. Auxins: on the nature of the receptor site and molecular requirements for auxin activity. *Phytochemistry* **18**, 223–233 (1979).
- 62. Kepinski, S. & Leyser, O. The *Arabidopsis* F-box protein TIR1 is an auxin receptor. *Nature* **435**, 446–451 (2005).
- 63. Dharmasiri, N., Dharmasiri, S. & Estelle, M. The F-box protein TIR1 is an auxin receptor. *Nature* **435**, 441–445 (2005).
- 64. Xuan, W., Opdenacker, D., Vanneste, S. & Beeckman, T. in *Root Development* (eds Ristova, D. & Barbez, E.) 177–190 (Springer, 2018).
- 65. Ung, K. L. et al. Structures and mechanism of the plant PIN-FORMED auxin transporter. *Nature* **609**, 605–610 (2022).
- 66. Bainbridge, K. et al. Auxin influx carriers stabilize phyllotactic patterning. *Genes Dev.* **22**, 810–823 (2008).
- 67. Casanova-Sáez, R. et al. Inactivation of the entire *Arabidopsis* group II GH3s confers tolerance to salinity and water deficit. *New Phytol.* **235**, 263–275 (2022).
- 68. Hayashi, K. et al. The main oxidative inactivation pathway of the plant hormone auxin. *Nat. Commun.* **12**, 1–11 (2021).
- 69. Bartel, B. & Fink, G. R. ILR1, an amidohydrolase that releases active indole-3-acetic acid from conjugates. *Science* **268**, 1745–1748 (1995).
- Davies, R. T., Goetz, D. H., Lasswell, J., Anderson, M. N. & Bartel, B. *IAR3* encodes an auxin conjugate hydrolase from *Arabidopsis. Plant Cell* 11, 365–376 (1999).
- LeClere, S., Tellez, R., Rampey, R. A., Matsuda, S. P. T. & Bartel, B. Characterization of a family of IAA-amino acid conjugate hydrolases from *Arabidopsis*. J. Biol. Chem. **277**, 20446–20452 (2002).
- 72. Campanella, J. J., Olajide, A. F., Magnus, V. & Ludwig-Muller, J. A novel auxin conjugate hydrolase from wheat with substrate specificity for longer side-chain auxin amide conjugates. *Plant Physiol.* **135**, 2230–2240 (2004).
- 73. Rampey, R. A. et al. A family of auxin-conjugate hydrolases that contributes to free indole-3-acetic acid levels during *Arabidopsis* germination. *Plant Physiol.* **135**, 978–988 (2004).
- Bitto, E. et al. X-ray structure of ILL2, an auxin-conjugate amidohydrolase from *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Proteins* **74**, 61–71 (2009).
- 75. Jumper, J. et al. Highly accurate protein structure prediction with AlphaFold. *Nature* **596**, 583–589 (2021).
- Valentini, R., Mugnozza, G. S., Giordano, E. & Kuzminsky, E. Influence of cold hardening on water relations of three *Eucalyptus* species. *Tree Physiol.* 6, 1–10 (1990).
- 77. Eliyahu, A. et al. Vegetative propagation of elite Eucalyptus clones as food source for honeybees (*Apis mellifera*); adventitious roots versus callus formation. *Isr. J. Plant Sci.* **67**, 83–97 (2020).
- Robinson, T. L. et al. Performance of Cornell-Geneva rootstocks across North America in multi-location NC-140 rootstock trials. In Proc. 1st International Symposium on Rootstocks for Deciduous Fruit Tree Species 241–245 (ISHS, 2004).
- 79. Marini, R. P. et al. Performance of 'golden delicious' apple on 23 rootstocks at 12 locations: a five-year summary of the 2003 nc-140 dwarf rootstock trial. *J. Am. Pomol. Soc.* **63**, 115 (2009).
- Marini, R. P. et al. Performance of 'golden delicious' apple on 23 rootstocks at eight locations: a ten-year summary of the 2003 NC-140 dwarf rootstock trial. J. Am. Pomol. Soc. 68, 54–68 (2014).

Article

- Tzeela, P. et al. Comparing adventitious root-formation and graft-unification abilities in clones of Argania spinosa. Front. Plant Sci. 13, 1002703 (2022).
- 82. Ruberv, P. H. & Sheldrake, A. R. Effect of pH and surface charge on cell uptake of auxin. *Nat. New Biol.* **244**, 285–288 (1973).
- 83. Sanchez Carranza, A. P. et al. Hydrolases of the ILR1-like family of *Arabidopsis thaliana* modulate auxin response by regulating auxin homeostasis in the endoplasmic reticulum. *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 24212 (2016).
- Staswick, P. E. et al. Characterization of an Arabidopsis enzyme family that conjugates amino acids to indole-3-acetic acid. *Plant Cell* 17, 616–627 (2005).
- Hagen, G. & Guilfoyle, T. Auxin-responsive gene expression: genes, promoters and regulatory factors. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 49, 373–385 (2002).
- Wabnik, K., Govaerts, W., Friml, J. & Kleine-Vehn, J. Feedback models for polarized auxin transport: an emerging trend. *Mol. Biosyst.* 7, 2352–2359 (2011).
- Hudson, J. P. Propagation of plants by root cuttings: II. Seasonal fluctuation of capacity to regenerate from roots. *J. Hortic. Sci.* 30, 242–251 (1955).

- Ohkouchi, T. & Tsuji, K. Basic technology and recent trends in agricultural formulation and application technology. *J. Pest Sci.* 47, 155–171 (2022).
- Skůpa, P., Opatrný, Z. & Petrášek, J. in Applied Plant Cell Biology (eds Nick, P. & Opatrny, Z.) 69–102 (Springer, 2014).
- 90. Kessel, A. & Ben-Tal, N. Free energy determinants of peptide association with lipid bilayers. *Curr. Top. Membr.* **52**, 205–253 (2002).

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.

 \circledast The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature America, Inc. 2024

Methods

Tree material and experimental procedure

Eucalyptus grandis trees were grown in 50 l pots containing peat and tuff (70:30) and 2 g l⁻¹ Osmokot. For rooting assays, 10-year-old trees grown in a net house were pruned at 1.5-2 m above the ground. The cuttings used for rooting assays (10–15 cm long) included the top two to four leaves, and -60% of each leaf was removed. After excision, the base and/or the foliage were treated as indicated. The submergence treatment was 1 min dipping and the foliar treatment was spraying with 0.05% Triton X-100 as a surfactant. The cuttings were planted in a rooting medium of peat, vermiculite and polystyrene flakes in a ratio of 1:2:3, placed in a heated rooting table (25 °C) under 90% humidity. Fungicides were applied on a weekly basis.

Metabolites extraction from cuttings

Samples were washed in soap for 1 min and two consecutive 1-min washes in double-distilled water before collecting the tissue into liquid nitrogen. Each biological sample included tissue from at least 20 cuttings, except for the samples that were taken for K-IBA uptake analysis that included 10 cuttings. Next, samples were grinded in liquid nitrogen, using a laboratory mill (IKA). From each biological sample, three samples of 180-240 mg (FW) frozen tissue were extracted in 1 ml of cold 79% isopropanol, 20% methanol and 1% acetic acid. When endogenous auxins were measured, 20 ng of ¹²C-labeled internal standards were added to the extraction solution. Samples were vortexed for 1 h at 4 °C, then centrifuged at 12,000 g for 15 min. The supernatants were transferred to fresh 2 ml Eppendorf tubes, and the pellet was extracted two more times using 0.5 ml of extraction solvent. Supernatants from the same sample were combined, and evaporated to dryness in a Speed-Vac. The pellets were dissolved in 200 µl of 50% prechilled methanol, centrifuged and the supernatants were filtered through 13-mm 0.22-µm polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) syringe filters into fresh tubes. The extracts were kept at -20 °C until ultraperformance liquid chromatography (UPLC)-MS/MS analysis.

Quantification of metabolites extracted from cuttings

UPLC-MS/MS analyses of 1q, 1r, 4-CPA and endogenous auxins were conducted using an UPLC-triple quadrupole-MS (Waters Xevo TQ MS). Separation was performed on a Waters Acquity UPLC BEH C181.7 µm 2.1×100 mm column with a VanGuard precolumn (BEH C18 1.7 μ m) 2.1×5 mm). UPLC separation used a water-acetonitrile gradient. For 1g and 1r, the gradient was of 5% to 70% solvent B in 8 min, followed by 70% to 95% in 1 min, 3 min at 95%, 95% to 5% in 1 min and finally 3 min at 5% solvent B. For 4-CPA and endogenous auxins, the gradient was of 5% to 60% solvent B in 7 min, followed by 60% to 95% in 1 min, 3 min at 95%, 95% to 5% in 1 min and finally 3 min at 5% solvent B (where solvent A is water, solvent B is acetonitrile and both contain 0.1% formic acid as an additive). The flow rate was 0.3 ml min⁻¹, the injection volume was 10 µl and the column temperature was kept at 35 °C. The analyses were performed using the electrospray ionization (ESI) source in negative ion mode with the following settings: capillary voltage 3.1 kV, cone voltage 30 V, desolvation temperature 300 °C (1q and 1r) or 400 °C (4-CPA), desolvation gas flow 565 l h^{-1} , source temperature 140 °C. Quantitation was performed using multiple-reaction monitoring (MRM) acquisition by monitoring the 387/327, 387/355 for 1q, retention time (RT) -7.75, 373/327, 373/130 for 1r, RT -6.90, and 185/127, 185/141 for 4-CPA, RT -5.77. Dwell time of 78 ms (1q and 1r) and 161 ms (4-CPA) for each transition. A calibration curve was used to calculate concentrations. Acquisition of LC-MS data was performed under MassLynx V4.1 software (Waters). For simplicity purposes, when a certain metabolite was not detected in all replicates of a biological group, it was assigned as not detected. When at least one replicate could be measured, not detected replicates were transformed to 0, with the exception of Supplementary Fig. 25, where the not detected replicate is not shown.

Enriching for *Eucalyptus* cambium, RNA extraction and bioinformatic analysis

Bases of *E. grandis* cuttings were either collected immediately (T_0 sample) or submerged for 1 min in 6,000 ppm K-IBA (Sigma) and planted for 24 hours before collection. Cambium cells were isolated as previously described^{91,92}. Briefly, bark of each cutting was rapidly peeled off using a sharp scalpel, and the inner tissue from the bark as well as residual soft tissue from the stem was scraped gently and immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen. The latter was referred to as the cambium-enriched fraction. The rest of the inner stem part was used as the xylem-enriched fraction. An average yield of 50 mg was collected per biological sample. RNA was extracted using Norgen-Bioteck RNA extraction kit according to the manufacturer's protocol, including an on-column DNAase treatment. Samples of cambium-enriched fractions were sent for sequencing to Macrogen laboratories in South Korea. The raw reads were subjected to a filtering and cleaning procedure. The FASTX Toolkit⁹³ (version 0.0.13.2) was used to trim read-end nucleotides with quality scores <30, using the FASTQ Quality Trimmer, and to remove reads with less than 70% base pairs with a quality score \leq 30 using the FASTQ Quality Filter. Clean reads were aligned to the E. grandis genome extracted from the Phytozome database (Eucalyptus_grandisv2 (ref. 94)) using Tophat2 software (v2.1) (ref. 95). Gene abundance estimation was performed using Cufflinks (v2.2) (ref. 96), combined with gene annotations from the Phytozome. Differential expression analysis was completed using the DESeq2 R package⁹⁷.

qRT-PCR

For quantitative real-time PCR (gRT-PCR), isolated RNA (from cambium-enriched or xylem-enriched fractions) was treated with DNAse 1 (Thermo Scientific). Complementary DNA was synthesized from 1 µg of total RNA using the qPCRBIO cDNA synthesis kit (PCR Biosystems Ltd.). Each qRT-PCR reaction was performed in a 10 µl reaction volume containing cDNA sample, 225 nM of each forward and reverse primer (Supplementary Table 1) and Fast SYBR Green qPCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems) in a StepOne Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems). The thermal cycling conditions were: 95 °C for 20 s, 40 cycles at 95 °C for 3 s and 60 °C for 30 s. After the final cycle, a melting curve analysis was performed at 95 °C for 15 s and 60 °C for 60 s, followed by 95 °C for 15 s to verify reaction specificity. WOX4 (WUSCHEL related homeobox 4, Eucgr.F02320) and HB8 (Homeobox gene 8. Eucgr. C00605 were used as cambium markers. isocitrate dehydrogenase (IDH, Eucgr.F02901) and α-tubulin (A-Tub, Eucgr.F00470) were used as the internal control for normalization of expression⁹⁸. Relative expression of genes was calculated according to the delta-delta Ct method⁹⁹.

Arabidopsis materials and experimental procedures

Arabidopsis plants were grown under long-day fluorescent light conditions (16 h light per day, 21 °C, 100–150 μ E m⁻² s⁻¹ light intensity). The mutant lines aux1-7 (ref. 100) and gh3 octuple⁶⁷, and the auxin reporter lines DR5:Luciferase¹⁰¹ and DR5:Venus¹⁰², are in Col-0 background. The triple mutant line ilr1-1 ill2-1 iar3-2 (ref. 73) and the overexpression complementation lines are in Ws-2 background. To test root elongation, 5-day-old seedlings (germination was determined by radicle emergence) were transferred to the mentioned treatments and incubation times. Next, plates were scanned and root length was determined manually in the Fiji ImageJ¹⁰³ platform. To assay ARs formation, germinated seeds were transferred to dark conditions for 3 days to induce etiolation. Next, etiolated seedlings were transferred to a split dish containing the indicated treatment only in the upper part, so only the shoots (defined as hypocotyl above the root-shoot junction cotyledons and) were directly exposed. After 1.5 h, the seedlings were transferred to fresh media for 10 min, then transferred again to a fresh media and allow to grow for 10 days. To test luciferase activity during AR induction, four biological repeats (each of ~20 plants per plate) per

treatment were used. Each plate was imaged three times at 24, 48 and 72 h after the mentioned treatment. Three hours before each imaging, seedlings were transferred to media that were presprayed with 0.5 ml of 1 mM D-luciferin (GOLDBIO) with 0.01% Tween-20 as a surfactant. Images were taken by an IVIS Lumina III (PerkinElmer) with a constant exposure time of 2 min and the total flux (p/s) values were used to determine enzymatic activity. In all assays, the media was half-strength Murashige and Skoog (MS, Duchefa) at pH 5.7, supplemented with 1% sucrose and 0.8% plant agar (Duchefa).

Confocal microscopy and image analysis

Arabidopsis root tips were imaged with a Zeiss LSM 780 laser spectral scanning confocal microscope, with a $10 \times air$ (Plan-Neofluar $10 \times /0.3$ M27) objective. YFP was exited with a 514 nm argon laser. To determine Venus fluorescence, Z-stack images were acquired, and signal intensity was quantified using Fiji imageJ¹⁰³ using the whole root tip as the region of interest.

Expression, purification and activity evaluation of GST-recombinant enzymes

To express GST-recombinant enzymes, vectors¹⁰⁴ were transformed into the BL21 (DE3) strain of E. coli and positive colonies were selected on 100 µg ml⁻¹ carbenicillin. Then, 1 ml starter from fresh colony was used to inoculate 100 ml culture of lysogeny broth (LB) media containing 100 μ g ml⁻¹ ampicillin. The cells were grown to an OD₆₀₀ of 0.6 at 37 °C, then protein expression was induced with 100 µM isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactoside. The cells were then grown for 12-16 h at 16 °C, pelleted, kept overnight in -80 °C, resuspend with lysis buffer (Tris-HCl 7.4 20 mM, dithiothreitol 2 mM, 0.05% Triton-100 and phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride 1 mM) and kept overnight at -80 °C. Next, the lysate was incubated with 1 mg ml⁻¹ lysozyme for 30 min at room temperature, before further mild sonication lysis. The lysate was centrifuged and filtered through a 22 µm filter, then passed over the Pierce Glutathione Spin Column according to the manufacturer's protocol. Correct-size validation was performed by sodium dodecyl sulfatepolyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and the protein concentration was determined by the Pierce bicinchoninic acid protein assay according to the manufacturer's protocol. Enzymatic activity was examined in a reaction solution (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8, 1 mM dithiothreitol 1 mM MnCl₂, 0.1 mM substrate and approximately 20 ng μ l⁻¹ protein) for 24 h at 25 °C. The reactions were terminated by the addition of 1% acetic acid in methanol to a final ratio of 1:3, and the products were analyzed by HPLC-MS, using a Waters HPLC with an XBridge C18 col $umn(100 \times 3 \text{ mm and } 5 \mu \text{m})$ coupled with an LC/MS Acquity QDa. HPLC separation used a water-acetonitrile gradient of 10% to 100% solvent B in 16 min, then 5 min at 100% solvent B and finally 4 min at 10% solvent B, at a flow rate of 1 ml min⁻¹ (where solvent A is water, solvent B is acetonitrile and both contain 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid as an additive). The compounds were identified according to their absorbance at 215 nm.

Phylogenetic analysis

A reciprocal protein basic local alignment search tool search was used to identify homologs of the *Arabidopsis* ILR1/ILLs, and sequences were retrieved from the Phytozome and PhycoCosm databases. Three hundred fifty-five proteins with at least 30% identity were found, of which the length of 277 sequences (78%) is 400–500 amino acids (Supplementary Fig. 26), and sequences of 350–550 amino acids were selected (301 sequences) for further analysis. Multiple sequence analysis (MSA) was generated by MAFFT using the E-INS-i strategy for proteins with more than one domain, based on the ILL2 structure⁷⁴ that has two domains (Fig. 5a) or using automatic settings (Supplementary Fig. 23). The quality of the MSAs was evaluated by Guidance2 (ref. 105), and both MSAs had score higher than 0.93. Next, the trees were built using IQ-TREE¹⁰⁶ with the JTT + I + G4 substitution model (based on the program's automatic fitting), 1,000 ultrafast-bootstrap and SH-aLRT branch test replicates. The R packages $ggtree^{107}$ and $treeio^{108,109}$ were used for visualizations.

Cloning and plant transformation

On the basis of sequences targeting ILL1.3.5.6 (calculated using the Chop-Chop tool¹⁰⁸; Supplementary Table 2) two guide DNAs were generated for each gene by PCR using pICH86966 as template (Supplementary Table 2), and cloned downstream the AtU6 promoter (level 0 vector; pICSL01009) (ref. 110) to form a level 1 vector, using the Golden Gate cloning method¹¹¹. Next, four level 1 vectors were similarly assembled into a binary vector that encodes for intronized CAS9 and Basta resistance cassette (pAGM65879) (ref. 112), to generate one vector that targets ILL1 and ILL6, and another that targets ILL3 and ILL5. To overexpress enzymes in Arabidopsis, the coding sequences of EgK02589, EgK02598, Potri.006G207400, Prupe.7G100000 and Potri.016G074100 were retrieved from the Phytozome database. Codon optimization (to Arabidopsis thaliana codon usage), synthesis and cloning into the pENTR vector were all conducted by Twist Bioscience. Overexpression binary vectors were generated by an LR reaction into pH2GW7 destination vector that encodes for hygromycin resistance cassette¹¹³. Arabidopsis transgenic lines were generated by floral dipping¹¹⁴, using the GV3101 strain of Agrobacterium tumefaciens, and resistant lines were selected on 10 µg ml⁻¹ phosphinothricin or 20 μg ml⁻¹ hygromycin.

Yeast two-hybrid assays

For the qualitative assays, the TIR1 bait vector pGILDA was cotransformed¹¹⁵ with an IAA7 prey vector pB42AD (or empty pB42AD as negative control) into Saccharomyces cerevisiae strain EGY48, as described in ref. 116. Yeast two-hybrid assays were performed as described previously¹¹⁵. For the quantitative assays, yeast strains were constructed as described previously¹¹⁷. Synthetic complete growth medium was used to grow the cells overnight from glycerol stock. All yeast cultures in all experiments of this study were grown in a 30 °C shaker incubator at 250 rpm. Steady-state data were collected during the log phase via the following preparation: 16 h of overnight growth in the synthetic complete medium in a shaker incubator followed by dilution to 30 events per µl into fresh, room-temperature medium. After 10 h of growth at 30 °C, a new dilution to 30 events per ul in 3 ml of medium was performed, the inducers were added to the indicated concentrations and 100 ul samples were collected at complete response time. Ten thousand events were collected for each condition. Experimental replicates are intended as biological replicates of the same overnight sample (replicates conducted on the same day) or the same glycerol stock (replicates conducted on different days).

TIR1 protein expression, purification and binding analysis by SPR

Protein for auxin-binding assays and analysis by SPR was done as described previously¹¹⁸. Briefly, Arabidopsis TIR1 was codon optimized for expression in insect and cloned into pOET5 transfer vector (Oxford Expression Technologies) with Arabidopsis ASK1. Recombinant baculoviruses were used to infect Spodoptera frugiperda9 cells in tissue culture. Cells were collected by centrifugation 2 days post infection and stored at -80 °C. Cell lysates were loaded onto a nickel-immobilized metal affinity chromatography column (cOmplete His-Tag Purification Resin, Roche) and eluted with 250 mM imidazole. Auxin-binding assays on a Biacore T200 (Cytiva Life Sciences) were done as described previously⁴⁸. Biotinylated AtAux/IAA7 degron peptide was immobilized on streptavidin-coated SPR chips, and binding was measured in the presence of IAA, or auxin analogue, by recruitment of the TIR1/AFB protein from solution as the coreceptor complex formed on the chip. Auxins were maintained as stock solutions in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) and used at with DMSO at 1% final concentration.

Oocyte uptake assay

Experiments were performed as described before¹¹⁹, with the following changes: *Xenopus laevis* oocytes were injected with 150 ng AUX1 cRNA. The stock solutions of IAA of 4-CPA, in methanol were diluted in 2 ml Barth's solution (88 mM NaCl, 1 mM KCl, 0.8 mM MgSO₄, 0.4 mM CaCl₂, 0.3 mM Ca(NO₃)₂, 2.4 mM NaHCO₃ and 10 mM HEPES) to reach a final concentration of 20 μ M. As a negative control, 2 μ l of methanol was diluted in 2 ml Barth's solution. The oocytes were incubated at room temperature for 30 min and 1 h in the respective solution (*n* = 35 oocytes per sample and time point). Oocytes were washed twice in Barth's solution, transferred to a reaction tube and homogenized in homogenization buffer without PhosSTOP (400 μ l per 35 oocytes). The soluble fraction (cytosol) was stored at -80 °C until analysis by LC–MS/MS. The assay was repeated three times with oocytes collected from different females.

Quantification of metabolites extracted from *Xenopus* oocytes

Samples were incubated with 20 µl of internal standard (IS) solution (155 µmol l⁻¹ forchlorfenuron, 25.5 µmol l⁻¹ 4-chlorphenylacetic acid and 5.16 mmol l⁻¹ indole-3-acetic-2,2-d2 acid, Sigma-Aldrich) and diluted with an acetonitrile/methanol + 1% formic acid solution (4:1; vol/vol) in extraction tubes. Extraction was performed using a bead beater homogenizer (8,000 rpm for 3×30 s and 25 s breaks using a Precellys evolution Homogenizer, Bertin Technologies) at 0 °C and after equilibration (1 h) and centrifugation (10 min at 6,000g) the supernatant was membrane filtered (Minisart RC15, 0.45 µm, Sartorius AG) and used for analysis. For the calibration curve, a stock solution for the quantitation of 4-CPA (339.9 µmol I⁻¹) and IAA (3.22 µmol I⁻¹) were prepared in methanol (1 ml) and the exact concentrations of each reference compound was verified by means of quantitative proton nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy (qHNMR) in methanol- d_4 (ref. 120). This calibration stock solution was then sequentially diluted 1+1. To each dilution, IS (20 µl), was added before analysis. For the recovery experiments, the analytes were spiked into analyte-free cytosols and membranes using the concentration ranges of the calibration curve each as triplicates. After addition of IS (20 μ l) the samples were prepared following the instructions above. For the determination of the limits of detection and the limits of quantitation, the standard solutions were further diluted. For the limits of detection, the signal-to-noise was set to a ratio of 3, and for the limits of quantitation, to a ratio of 9. The intraday precision was determined by calculating the relative standard deviation for the analysis of the spiked recovery samples and interday precision was determined by the analysis of the spiked recovery samples after 4 days. For LC-MS/MS analysis, the samples were chromatographically separated by an ExionLC (Sciex) consisting of two LC pump systems ExionLC AD Pump, an ExionLC degasser, an ExionLC AD autosampler, an ExionLCAC column oven and an ExionLC controller, and connected with a QTRAP 6500+ mass spectrometer (Sciex) controlled by Analyst 1.6.3 software (Sciex). Data interpretation was performed using MultiQuant software (version 3.0.2, Sciex; Peak model: MQ4) and Analyst 1.6.3 (Sciex). For LC–MS/MS analysis of 4-CPA and IAA, the compounds were separated on a Kinetex C18 column (100 × 2.1 mm, 1.7 µm, 100 A, Phenomenex) with a flow rate of 0.4 ml min⁻¹. The following gradient consisting of formic acid in water (0.1%, solvent A) and 0.1% formic acid in methanol (0.1%, solvent B) was used for the separation of the compounds: 0 min, 15% B; 1 min, 15% B; 5 min, 100% B; 6 min, 100% B; 7 min, 15% B; 8 min, 15% B. Mass spectrometer settings were as follows: MRM- (low mass), ion spray voltage (4,500 V), curtain gas (35 psi), temperature (450 °C), gas 1 (55 psi), gas 2 (65 psi), collision-activated dissociation (-2 V) and entrance potential (10 V). MRM+ (low mass), ion spray voltage (4,500 V), curtain gas (35 psi), temperature (450 °C), gas 1 (55 psi), gas 2 (65 psi), collision-activated dissociation (2 V) and entrance potential (10 V). QHNMR was recorded on a 400 MHz Avance III spectrometer (Bruker) equipped with a Broadband Observe BBFO

plus Probe (Bruker). Methanol- d_4 (600 µl) was used as solvent, and chemical shifts are reported in ppm relative to the methanol- d_4 solvent signal. Data processing was performed using Topspin nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) software (version 3.2, Bruker). The quantitation by qHNMR was performed as reported earlier by calibration of the spectrometer using the ERETIC 2 tool based on the PULCON methodology.

SSM electrophysiology assay

SSM electrophysiology was carried out as described in ref. 65. Proteoliposomes with an lipid to protein ratio of 1:5 were kept in nonactivating solution of Ringer solution without Ca2+ (115 mM NaCl, 2.5 mM KCl, 1 mM NaHCO₃, 10 mM HEPES pH 7.4 and 1 mM MgCl₂). At the beginning of the experiment, nonactivating buffer was exchanged for fresh identical nonactivating buffer and after 1 s, activating buffer (same buffer containing 20 μ M substrate) was added. After a further 1 s, the buffer was again exchanged to nonactivating buffer. Current response was recorded throughout the entire 3 s. Peak current responses were extracted from the current traces using the SURFE2R control v1.6.0.1 software with the default settings.

Docking calculations

Docking calculations were carried out using Glide software¹²¹⁻¹²³ (Glide, Schrödinger, LLC), as part of Schrödinger Release 2021-4. The docking used the available solved structure of ILL2 (ref. 74) and the AlphaFold⁷⁵ models for all other enzymes. The structures of the above proteins are overall similar (root mean square deviation of 2.3 Å at the most), particularly in the active site region. Thus, to place the two Mn²⁺ ions in each of the predicted structures, we used the ILL2 crystal structure as a template, and made only small, manual adjustments to compensate for differences in the exact locations of the coordinating residues in other sites. Each of the structures was prepared for docking and energy-minimized using Schrodinger's Protein Preparation Wizard¹²⁴ (Schrödinger LLC), with protonation states predicted by PropKa 3.1 (refs. 125, 126). The structure of 1r was prepared for docking using LigPrep (LigPrep, Schrödinger, LLC), with its ionization state determined at pH 7.0 \pm 2.0 using Epik¹²⁷. In the ligand's structure, Trp was kept in the (2S) configuration. The receptor grid was generated around the centroids of the catalytic glutamate residue (for example, E172 in ILL2) and Mn²⁺ cations, with a 10 Å enclosing box. Docking calculations were carried out using the extra precision scoring function¹²¹, with flexible ligand and expanded sampling.

General synthetic and analytical methods

All chemicals were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich or Combi-Blocks and used as received unless otherwise stated. Anhydrous solvents and reagents (dichloromethane (DCM) and tetrahydrofuran) were obtained as SureSeal bottles from Sigma-Aldrich. Thin-layer chromatography and flash chromatography were performed using precoated silica gel 60 F₂₅₄ plates and silica gel 60 (230-400 mesh), respectively. HPLC-MS analysis was performed on a Waters HPLC coupled with Acquity QDa (low-resolution ESI) with an XBridge C18 column ($100 \times 3 \text{ mm}, 5 \mu \text{m}$) using a water-acetonitrile gradient of 0% to 100% solvent B in 17 min then 3 min at 100% solvent B at a flow rate of 1 ml min⁻¹ (where solvent A is water, solvent B is acetonitrile and both contain 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid as an additive). High-resolution ESI mass spectrometry was performed on a Waters SYNAPT system. ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR spectra were collected in CDCl₃ or DMSO-d₆ (Cambridge Isotope Laboratories) at 25 °C using a Bruker Advance III spectrometer at 400 MHz and 101 MHz, respectively, at the Department of Chemistry NMR Facility at Tel Aviv University. All chemical shifts are reported in the standard δ notation of parts per million using the either tetramethylsilane (TMS) or residual solvent peak as an internal reference.

logD calculation

 $\log\!D$ calculations were conducted using the Chemaxon LogD Predictor plugin $^{128}.$

pK_a prediction

 pK_a predictions were conducted using MolGpKa¹²⁹.

Synthetic methods

All compounds were synthesized according to one of the following methods in Supplementary Table 3:

Method A. To a solution of 4-CPA, MCPA or 2-(2,4-dichlorophenoxy) propionic acid (300–500 mg) in DCM (30 ml), a few drops of tetrahydrofuran and carbomyldiimidazole (1.2 eq.) was added. After stirring the solution for 2 h at room temperature, the amine was added (1.05 eq.). For aromatic amines, the reaction was stirred overnight. For aliphatic amines, the reaction was monitored by thin-layer chromatography. Upon completion, the reaction mixture was washed with water, the organic phase was separated and the aqueous residue was extracted with DCM (2× 20 ml). The combined organic phase was washed with 1 M HCl (20 ml), dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated under vacuum. The purity of the product was analyzed by HPLC–MS. If needed, the crude residue was purified by silica gel chromatography (ethyl acetate (EtOAc):*n*-hexanes (Hex)), with 45–95% yield.

Method B. SOCl₂ (5 eq.) was slowly added to a solution of NAA in DCM (30 ml) at 0 °C. After stirring at room temperature for 2 h, the reaction mixture was concentrated in a vacuum and the crude acid chloride was diluted with 30 ml of DCM. A solution of the amine (1 eq.) and NEt₃ (1 eq.) in DCM (20 ml) was added dropwise to acid chloride solution at 0 °C. The resulting mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred overnight. The reaction mixture was quenched with saturated NaHCO₃ and extracted with DCM (2×20 ml). The combined organic phase was dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated under vacuum. The crude amide product was purified by silica gel chromatography (EtOAc:Hex). The purity of the product was analyzed by HPLC–MS, with 30–90% yield.

Method C. SOCl₂ (3 eq.) was slowly added to a solution of NAA, 4-CPA, MCPA or 2-DP (300–400 mg, 1 eq.) in methanol (30 ml) at room temperature. The mixture was heated to 60 °C and stirred for 30 min. The reaction mixture was concentrated in a vacuum, diluted with ethyl acetate, washed with saturated NaHCO₃ and brine, dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated under vacuum. The purity of the product was analyzed by HPLC–MS. If needed, the crude residue was purified by silica gel chromatography (EtOAc:Hex), with 83–97% yields.

Synthesis of 1r and 1t

Into a 10-ml process vial equipped with a stirring bar, 1q or 1s (200 mg, 0.52 mmol, 1 eq.) in 3 ml of methanol was added, followed by the addition of aqueous solution of sodium hydroxide (3 eq. in 1 ml water). The vial was fitted with a snap-on cap, inserted to a CEM Discover SP microwave and stirred for 10 s under the following conditions: method type: dynamic; pressure limit: 250 psi; vessel type: 10 ml; temperature: 90 °C; power: 100 W; hold time (h:min:s): 00:10:00; permixing: no; stirring: high; and cooling: on. The solution was transferred to 20 ml vial and evaporated to dryness. The crude was dissolved in H₂O (5 ml) and the pH was adjusted to 3 with 2 NHCl. When precipitation of product was complete, the solid was filtered and washed with water. The solid was lyophilized overnight. Finally, a stochiometric amount of NaOH in 5 ml water was added and the solid was lyophilized, providing the final product as a white powder sodium salt, with yields of 1r 185 mg (0.47 mmol, 90%) and 1t 188 mg (0.48 mmol, 92%).

Reporting summary

Further information on research design is available in the Nature Portfolio Reporting Summary linked to this article.

Data availability

All data supporting the findings of this study are available within the paper and its Supplementary Information. RNA sequencing data associated with this work is available at BioProject accession PRJNA1029024 (manuscript refs. 90,130). Source data are provided with this paper.

References

- 91. Ridoutt, B. G., Pharis, R. P. & Sands, R. Identification and quantification of cambial region hormones of *Eucalyptus globulus*. *Plant Cell Physiol*. **36**, 1143–1147 (1995).
- 92. Foucart, C. et al. Transcript profiling of a xylem vs phloem cDNA subtractive library identifies new genes expressed during xylogenesis in *Eucalyptus*. *New Phytol.* **170**, 739–752 (2006).
- 93. FastX-Toolkit. Hannon Lab (2012) http://hannonlab.cshl.edu/ fastx_toolkit/index.html
- 94. Goodstein, D. M. et al. Phytozome: a comparative platform for green plant genomics. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **40**, D1178–D1186 (2012).
- Kim, D. et al. TopHat2: accurate alignment of transcriptomes in the presence of insertions, deletions and gene fusions. *Genome Biol.* 14, 1–13 (2013).
- 96. Trapnell, C. et al. Transcript assembly and quantification by RNA-Seq reveals unannotated transcripts and isoform switching during cell differentiation. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **28**, 511–515 (2010).
- Love, M. I., Huber, W. & Anders, S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol.* 15, 1–21 (2014).
- Moura, J. C. M. S. et al. Validation of reference genes from Eucalyptus spp. under different stress conditions. BMC Res. Notes 5, 634 (2012).
- Livak, K. J. & Schmittgen, T. D. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2^{-ΔΔCT} method. *Methods* 25, 402–408 (2001).
- 100. Bennett, M. J. et al. *Arabidopsis AUX1* gene: a permease-like regulator of root gravitropism. *Science* **273**, 948–950 (1996).
- Moreno-Risueno, M. A. et al. Oscillating gene expression determines competence for periodic *Arabidopsis* root branching. *Science* **329**, 1306–1311 (2010).
- 102. Heisler, M. G. et al. Patterns of auxin transport and gene expression during primordium development revealed by live imaging of the *Arabidopsis* inflorescence meristem. *Curr. Biol.* **15**, 1899–1911 (2005).
- 103. Schneider, C. A., Rasband, W. S. & Eliceiri, K. W. NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of image analysis. *Nat. Methods* **9**, 671–675 (2012).
- 104. LeClere, S., Tellez, R., Rampey, R. A., Matsuda, S. P. T. & Bartel, B. Characterization of a family of IAA-amino acid conjugate hydrolases from *Arabidopsis*. J. Biol. Chem. 277, 20446–20452 (2002).
- 105. Sela, I., Ashkenazy, H., Katoh, K. & Pupko, T. GUIDANCE2: accurate detection of unreliable alignment regions accounting for the uncertainty of multiple parameters. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 43, W7–W14 (2015).
- 106. Trifinopoulos, J., Nguyen, L.-T., von Haeseler, A. & Minh, B. Q. W-IQ-TREE: a fast online phylogenetic tool for maximum likelihood analysis. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 44, W232–W235 (2016).
- 107. Yu, G., Smith, D. K., Zhu, H. & Guan, Y. GGTREE: an R package for visualization and annotation of phylogenetic trees with their covariates and other associated data. *Methods Ecol. Evol.* 8, 28–36 (2017).
- 108. Wang, L.-G. et al. Treeio: an R package for phylogenetic tree input and output with richly annotated and associated data. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **37**, 599–603 (2020).
- 109. Labun, K. et al. CHOPCHOP v3: expanding the CRISPR web toolbox beyond genome editing. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 47, W171–W174 (2019).

- 110. Nekrasov, V., Staskawicz, B., Weigel, D., Jones, J. D. G. & Kamoun, S. Targeted mutagenesis in the model plant *Nicotiana benthamiana* using Cas9 RNA-guided endonuclease. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **31**, 691–693 (2013).
- Weber, E., Engler, C., Gruetzner, R., Werner, S. & Marillonnet, S. A modular cloning system for standardized assembly of multigene constructs. *PLoS ONE* 6, e16765 (2011).
- 112. Grützner, R. et al. High-efficiency genome editing in plants mediated by a Cas9 gene containing multiple introns. *Plant Commun.* **2**, 100135 (2021).
- Karimi, M., Inzé, D. & Depicker, A. GATEWAY[™] vectors for Agrobacterium-mediated plant transformation. *Trends Plant Sci.* 7, 193–195 (2002).
- 114. Zhang, X., Henriques, R., Lin, S.-S., Niu, Q.-W. & Chua, N.-H. Agrobacterium-mediated transformation of Arabidopsis thaliana using the floral dip method. *Nat. Protoc.* **1**, 641–646 (2006).
- 115. Prigge, M. J., Lavy, M., Ashton, N. W. & Estelle, M. *Physcomitrella patens* auxin-resistant mutants affect conserved elements of an auxin-signaling pathway. *Curr. Biol.* **20**, 1907–1912 (2010).
- Gietz, R. D. & Schiestl, R. H. High-efficiency yeast transformation using the LiAc/SS carrier DNA/PEG method. *Nat. Protoc.* 2, 31–34 (2007).
- 117. Pierre-Jerome, E., Jang, S. S., Havens, K. A., Nemhauser, J. L. & Klavins, E. Recapitulation of the forward nuclear auxin response pathway in yeast. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **111**, 9407–9412 (2014).
- 118. Prusinska, J. et al. The differential binding and biological efficacy of auxin herbicides. *Pest Manag. Sci.* **79**, 305–1315 (2022).
- 119. Fastner, A., Absmanner, B. & Hammes, U. Z. in *Plant Hormones* (eds Kleine-Vehn, J. & Sauer, M.) 259–270 (Springer, 2017).
- 120. Frank, O., Kreissl, J. K., Daschner, A. & Hofmann, T. Accurate determination of reference materials and natural isolates by means of quantitative ¹H NMR spectroscopy. J. Agric. Food Chem. 62, 2506–2515 (2014).
- 121. Friesner, R. A. et al. Extra precision glide: docking and scoring incorporating a model of hydrophobic enclosure for protein-ligand complexes. *J. Med. Chem.* **49**, 6177–6196 (2006).
- 122. Halgren, T. A. et al. Glide: a new approach for rapid, accurate docking and scoring. 2. Enrichment factors in database screening. J. Med. Chem. **47**, 1750–1759 (2004).
- 123. Friesner, R. A. et al. Glide: a new approach for rapid, accurate docking and scoring. 1. Method and assessment of docking accuracy. *J. Med. Chem.* **47**, 1739–1749 (2004).
- Madhavi Sastry, G., Adzhigirey, M., Day, T., Annabhimoju, R. & Sherman, W. Protein and ligand preparation: parameters, protocols, and influence on virtual screening enrichments. J. Comput. Aided Mol. Des. 27, 221–234 (2013).
- 125. Søndergaard, C. R., Olsson, M. H. M., Rostkowski, M. & Jensen, J. H. Improved treatment of ligands and coupling effects in empirical calculation and rationalization of pK_a values. J. Chem. Theory Comput. **7**, 2284–2295 (2011).
- Olsson, M. H. M., Søndergaard, C. R., Rostkowski, M. & Jensen, J. H. PROPKA3: consistent treatment of internal and surface residues in empirical pK_a predictions. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* 7, 525–537 (2011).
- 127. Greenwood, J. R., Calkins, D., Sullivan, A. P. & Shelley, J. C. Towards the comprehensive, rapid, and accurate prediction of the favorable tautomeric states of drug-like molecules in aqueous solution. J. Comput. Aided Mol. Des. 24, 591–604 (2010).
- 128. ChemAxon Chemicalize. ChemAxon (2023) https://disco. chemaxon.com/calculators/demo/plugins/logd/
- 129. Pan, X., Wang, H., Li, C., Zhang, J. Z. H. & Ji, C. MolGpka: a web server for small molecule pK_a prediction using a graph-convolutional neural network. J. Chem. Inf. Model. 61, 3159–3165 (2021).

130. Roth, O. et al. Slow release of a synthetic auxin induces formation of adventitious roots in recalcitrant woody plants. Cambium-enriched samples of *Eucalyptus grandis* mature cuttings *BioProject* https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/ ?term=PRJNA1029024 (2023).

Acknowledgements

We thank the undergraduate students A. Verblun and G. Yehezkely in the laboratory of R.W. for supporting experiments. We also thank E. Shani for providing DR5:Luciferase, DR5:Venus and aux1-7 Arabidopsis lines; M. Bennett and R. Swarup for providing the aux/lax guadruple mutant; M. Estelle for providing Y2H vectors; K. Ljung for providing the gh3 octuple mutant and B. Bartel for providing the ilr1-1 ill2-1 iar3-2 triple mutant and vectors expressing GST-recombinant version of ILR1, ILL2 and IAR3. We also thank I. Mayrose and K. Halabi for their assistance in constructing ILR1/ILLs phylogenetics. This work was supported by funding from the Israel Science Foundation (grant number 1057/21 to R.W.), the Chief Scientist of the Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development, Israel (grant numbers 20-10-0067, 13-37-0005 and 20-01-0270 to R.W. and E.S.), the United States-Israel Binational Agricultural Research and Development Fund (BARD, grant number IS-5195-19R to R.W, E.S and C.J. Staiger (Purdue University, IN)), the Yuri Milner 70@70 Fellowship (to O.R.), the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (SFB024 TPB12 to C.D and SFB924 TPA08 and HA3468/6-3 to U.Z.H) and the Tel Aviv University Center for AI and Data Science (to N.B.-T).

Author contributions

J.R., E.S. and R.W. conceived of the project and E.S. and R.W. supervised the project. O.R. designed and ran experiments and analyzed data. S.Y., O.S., A.E. and P.T. ran rooting experiments of cuttings from the different trees. I.V. synthesized and characterized compounds. A.E. and V.D. performed RNA sequencing and qPCR experiments. F.S. ran mass-spectrometry analyses of auxins and conjugates and analyzed data under the supervision of M.C.-W. A.K. designed and ran molecular simulation experiments with input from O.R. under the supervision of N.B.-T. A.F.-D. performed bioinformatics analysis of the RNA sequencing data. R.N. designed and performed SPR measurements. D.P.J. performed oocyte uptake assay under the supervision of U.Z.H. U.Z.H. performed SSM assays. V.P. performed mass spectrometry analyses on oocyte extracts and membranes under supervision of C.D. K.L.U. performed PIN purification under supervision of B.P.P. A.C. performed and analyzed binding assays of auxin receptors under the supervision of E.K. E.S., R.W. and O.R. wrote the manuscript with inputs from all co-authors.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-023-02065-3.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Einat Sadot or Roy Weinstain.

Peer review information *Nature Biotechnology* thanks Danny Geelan, Catherine Bellini and the other, anonymous, reviewer(s) for their contribution to the peer review of this work.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

nature portfolio

Corresponding author(s): Roy Weinstain and Einat Sadot

Last updated by author(s): Nov 12, 2023

Reporting Summary

Nature Portfolio wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. For further information on Nature Portfolio policies, see our <u>Editorial Policies</u> and the <u>Editorial Policy Checklist</u>.

Statistics

For	all st	atistical analyses, confirm that the following items are present in the figure legend, table legend, main text, or Methods section.
n/a	Cor	firmed
	\boxtimes	The exact sample size (n) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement
	\boxtimes	A statement on whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly
		The statistical test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided Only common tests should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section.
	\boxtimes	A description of all covariates tested
	\boxtimes	A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons
		A full description of the statistical parameters including central tendency (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient) AND variation (e.g. standard deviation) or associated estimates of uncertainty (e.g. confidence intervals)
		For null hypothesis testing, the test statistic (e.g. <i>F</i> , <i>t</i> , <i>r</i>) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and <i>P</i> value noted Give <i>P</i> values as exact values whenever suitable.
	\boxtimes	For Bayesian analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings
	\boxtimes	For hierarchical and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes
	\boxtimes	Estimates of effect sizes (e.g. Cohen's d, Pearson's r), indicating how they were calculated
		Our web collection on <u>statistics for biologists</u> contains articles on many of the points above.

Software and code

Policy information about <u>availability of computer code</u>						
Data collection	MassLynx V4.1,Zeiss ZEN (blue and black editions), Living Image 4.7.3					
Data analysis	MassLynx V4.1,FASTX V0.0.13.2, FASTQ Quality Trimmer,FASTQ Quality Filter, Tophat2 V2.1,Cufflinks V2.2, DESeq2, R studio,Zeiss ZEN,Fiji imageJ, MAFFT V7, Guidance2, IQ-TREE, ggplot2, ggtree, treeio, Chop-Chop,ERETIC 2, Topspin NMR V3.2, Glide Schrödinger Release 2021-4, Schrödinger's Protein Preparation Wizard, PropKa 3.1LigPrep, Schrödinger, LLC, New York, NY, 2021, Epik, Chemaxon LogD, MolGpKa					

For manuscripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors and reviewers. We strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Portfolio guidelines for submitting code & software for further information.

Data

Policy information about availability of data

All manuscripts must include a data availability statement. This statement should provide the following information, where applicable:

- Accession codes, unique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets
- A description of any restrictions on data availability
- For clinical datasets or third party data, please ensure that the statement adheres to our policy

All data supporting the findings of this study are available within the paper and its Supplementary Information. RNA sequencing data associated with this work is available at BioProject accession PRJNA1029024 (ref. 91).

Human research participants

Policy information about studies involving human research participants and Sex and Gender in Research.

Reporting on sex and gender	N/A
Population characteristics	N/A
Recruitment	N/A
Ethics oversight	N/A

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.

Field-specific reporting

Please select the one below that is the best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection.

 Life sciences
 Behavioural & social sciences
 Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences

 For a reference copy of the document with all sections, see nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf

Life sciences study design

All studies must disclose on these points even when the disclosure is negative.

Sample size	No sample size calculation were performed. For testing AR formation in cuttings of trees, we always collected at least 20 cuttings per biological group per experiment, or, as much as we could obtain. For experiments with Arabidopsis seedlings, we used at least 15 plants per biological group per experiment, with the exclusion of the DR5:Venus fluorescence experiment (Fig. 3b, a time-laps experiment with several treatments that was repeated multiple times).
Data exclusions	We did not exclude any data.
Replication	We describe in detail all measures taken to verify the reproducibility of the experimental findings (including sample size and experimental design) throughout the text and figure captions. Experiments that tested aspects of plant growth or response, shown in Figures 1-5, were repeated multiple times with large numbers of entities (cuttings or seedlings), and we observed similar results. It should be noted that rooting experiments on trees growing in the field are influenced by many factors, for example, local climate, season, the specific environmental conditions experienced by the tree before harvesting, the particular clone traits, etc. Thus, Ad hoc treatment calibration is required when applying 1q to new plant systems. LC-MS experiment shown in Figure 2 quantified metabolites of 21 samples, each composed of 3 replicates (except for 4-CPA after one hour, which was composed of 2). Our method requires at least ten cutting bases for efficient grinding. Therefore, given the large number of cuttings in the experiments, replicates were taken from a pool of 20 cutting bases harvested together. We repeated the in vitro SPR and enzymatic activity assays at least twice. For transport assays, we used at least three biological replicates. The experiments shown in Figure 6 included at least 20 cuttings per sample.
Randomization	Samples allocation was always random.
Blinding	Investigators were not blinded to samples allocation.

Reporting for specific materials, systems and methods

We require information from authors about some types of materials, experimental systems and methods used in many studies. Here, indicate whether each material, system or method listed is relevant to your study. If you are not sure if a list item applies to your research, read the appropriate section before selecting a response.

Materials & experimental systems			Methods		
n/a	Involved in the study	n/a	Involved in the study		
\times	Antibodies	\ge	ChIP-seq		
	Eukaryotic cell lines	\boxtimes	Flow cytometry		
\times	Palaeontology and archaeology	\boxtimes	MRI-based neuroimaging		
\boxtimes	Animals and other organisms				
\boxtimes	Clinical data				
\ge	Dual use research of concern				

ature portfolio | reporting summary

Eukaryotic cell lines

Policy information about cell lines and Sex and Gender in Research					
Cell line source(s)	Oxford Expression Technologies. https://oetltd.com/				
Authentication	OET, no user authentication.				
Mycoplasma contamination	Not tested for mycoplasma				
Commonly misidentified lines (See <u>ICLAC</u> register)	N/A				